

2017-04-27

Inference in Constrained Linear Regression

Xinyu Chen

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/etd-theses>

Repository Citation

Chen, Xinyu, "Inference in Constrained Linear Regression" (2017). *Masters Theses (All Theses, All Years)*. 405.
<https://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/etd-theses/405>

This thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Digital WPI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses (All Theses, All Years) by an authorized administrator of Digital WPI. For more information, please contact wpi-etd@wpi.edu.

Inference in Constrained Linear Regression

by

Xinyu Chen

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty

of the

WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of Master of Science

in

Applied Statistics

by

May 2017

APPROVED:

Professor Thelge Buddika Peiris, Major Thesis Advisor

Abstract

Regression analyses constitutes an important part of the statistical inference and has great applications in many areas. In some applications, we strongly believe that the regression function changes monotonically with some or all of the predictor variables in a region of interest. Deriving analyses under such constraints will be an enormous task. In this work, the restricted prediction interval for the mean of the regression function is constructed when two predictors are present. I use a modified likelihood ratio test (LRT) to construct prediction intervals.

Keywords: Least favorable distribution, Restricted prediction interval, Chi-bar-square distribution, Likelihood ratio test

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor Thelge Buddika Peiris who helped me going through the constrained statistical inference in regression and instructed me in Latex and R code.

My thanks are also due to my classmate, Jinxin Tao, who gave me a lot of support and shared the knowledge with me.

Also, my special thanks are extended to all the professors who imparted to me with the valuable statistical knowledge and their assistance for the past four semesters.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	General Linear Regression	2
1.1.1	Model and Assumptions	3
1.1.2	Maximum Likelihood Estimator	3
1.1.3	Confidence and Prediction Intervals	4
1.2	Constrained Statistical Inference	6
1.2.1	The Basics	6
1.2.2	Likelihood Ratio Test	7
2	First Order Model with Two Variables	8
2.1	Model and Assumptions	8
2.2	Inferences for $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02}$	10
3	Inference for $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02}$	11
3.1	Test when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	11
3.2	Test when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	22
3.3	Test when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	23
3.4	Test when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	31
4	Formulas for The Rejection Region	33

4.1	when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	33
4.2	when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	37
4.3	when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	40
4.4	when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	44
5	When σ^2 is Unknown	46
6	Prediction Intervals	48
6.1	when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	48
6.2	when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	50
6.3	when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	53
6.4	when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	55
7	Example	58
7.1	When σ^2 is known	59
7.1.1	When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	59
7.1.2	When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	59
7.1.3	When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	59
7.1.4	When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	60
7.2	When σ^2 is unknown	60
7.2.1	When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	60
7.2.2	When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	61
7.2.3	When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$	61
7.2.4	When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$	61
A	R Codes in Example	64

List of Figures

3.1	The region G_{01} and boundary of the rejection region	14
3.2	2-Dimensional illustration of S_3 , S_4 and S_5	15
3.3	2-Dimensional illustration of S_6 , S_7 and S_8	16
3.4	3-Dimensional view of disjoint regions S_9 , S_{10} , S_{11} , S_{12} and S_{13}	17
3.5	H_{01} and Rejection region	20
3.6	G_{03} and Rejection region	24
3.7	M_{02} and Rejection region and dual cone M_{02}^*	27
3.8	2-Dimensional view of M_{02} and Rejection region	28
3.9	H_{03} and Rejection region	30
3.10	G_{04} and Rejection region	32

Chapter 1

Introduction

Techniques of statistical inference under order restrictions have been used in many applications. Regression analysis constitutes a large part of them. In many applications, experimenters believe that the regression function varies monotonically with the predictor variables in some region of interest. Usually the restricted regression analysis will consider the null hypothesis of the type $R\beta = r$ versus $R\beta \geq r$, $R\beta \neq r$, for some matrix R , vector r when $X \sim N(\theta, V)$ and V is arbitrary. I do not have to consider the case for a general V separately in this thesis because the inference problem can be restated in terms of the identity covariance matrix. (Silvapulle and Sen (2004))

And linear regression analysis is simple and efficient. Techniques of linear regression have been used for many areas and for a long time. However constrained regression analysis is more suitable and reasonable for the reality. If we use general linear regression inference techniques, we would not be able to get the benefit of our assumptions. In the fields such as economics and aerospace, constrained linear regression analysis might give more precise predictions which are important than regular one. Mukerjee and Tu (1995) already discussed constrained simple linear

regression on a single variable. Commonly higher dimensional constrained inference is needed which is more practical. Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016) has developed the techniques for point and interval estimators for model parameters and the mean response for two predictor variables model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$ with sign constraints on slope parameters β_1 and β_2 . Confidence intervals reflect the goodness of fitting and prediction intervals tell us that under certain probability the future observations will fall into the estimated intervals. In this thesis, I develop the formulas for the prediction intervals for the two predictor variables model with such constraints in slope parameters.

1.1 General Linear Regression

Regression analysis is a statistical process to estimate the relation between two or more variables. Regression analysis techniques are often used to help understanding how the response variables change under the variation of the predictor variables. Regression analysis has three main purposes: 1.describe the relation between two or more variables, 2.use predictor variables to control response variables, and 3.use statistical relation to make predictions. Further, they are widely used to make forecasting in many areas, like biology, business, and data science. A few examples of applications are:

1. The length of patient stay in a hospital in days can be predicted by utilizing the relationship between patient's age in years and the time in the hospital.
2. The patient's blood pressure can be predicted by utilizing the relationship between the blood pressure and body weight.

1.1.1 Model and Assumptions

I consider a general regression model where there are several predictor variables and the regression function is linear. The model can be stated as

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \dots + \beta_p X_{pi} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.1)$$

where Y_i is the response for the i^{th} trial, $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$ are parameters, $X_{1i}, X_{2i}, \dots, X_{pi}$ are the values of predictor variables in i^{th} trial, ϵ_i is a random error term and $\epsilon_i \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

1.1.2 Maximum Likelihood Estimator

In model (1.1), let $p=2$, then $\epsilon = Y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i}) \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$,

$$f_{Y_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i})}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right].$$

Then likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_{1i} - \beta_2 X_{2i})^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_{1i} - \beta_2 X_{2i})^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the values of $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2$, and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ that maximize the likelihood function $L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \sigma^2)$, we let corresponding first derivatives equal zero and solve those simultaneous equations for MLEs. Then the MLEs of β_0, β_1 , and β_2 also can be obtained in matrix form as

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y,$$

$$\text{where } Y_{n \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } X_{n \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{21} \\ 1 & x_{12} & x_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{1n} & x_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

1.1.3 Confidence and Prediction Intervals

Confidence Interval

One of linear regression analysis objects is to estimate the mean response $E(Y)$. Consider a study of the relationship between patient's blood pressure (Y) and body weight (X). The mean blood pressure at high and medium levels of body weight may be one of the purposes of analyzing the effect of overweight.

Let X_h as the level of X for which we wish to estimate the mean response $E(Y_h)$. Then the point estimator of $E(Y_h)$ is

$$\hat{Y}_h = X_h \hat{\beta},$$

and \hat{Y}_h follows normal distribution with mean $E(\hat{Y}_h) = E(Y_h)$ and variance $\sigma^2(\hat{Y}_h) = \sigma^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_h - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2})$. When σ is known,

$$\frac{\hat{Y}_h - E(Y_h)}{\sigma(\hat{Y}_h)} \sim N(0, 1),$$

where $N(0,1)$ is the standard normal distribution. Therefore $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval can be known as,

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm Z(1 - \alpha/2)\sigma(\hat{Y}_h),$$

where $Z(1 - \alpha/2)$ is the $(1 - \alpha/2)$ 100 percentile of standard normal distribution.

Usually σ is unknown, we replace $\sigma^2(\hat{Y}_h)$ with the estimated variance $s^2(\hat{Y}_h) =$

$MSE(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_h - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2})$, and we have

$$\frac{\hat{Y}_h - E(Y_h)}{s(\hat{Y}_h)} \sim t_v,$$

where t_ν denotes the t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom. Therefore $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval is

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm t_{(1-\alpha/2;v)} s(\hat{Y}_h),$$

where $t_{(1-\alpha/2;v)}$ is the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ percentile of t distribution with v degrees of freedom.

Prediction Interval

Now we consider the prediction of a new observation Y corresponding to a given level X of the predictor variable. When σ is known,

$$\frac{\hat{Y}_{h(new)} - \hat{Y}_h}{\sigma\{\text{pred}\}} \sim N(0, 1),$$

where $\sigma^2\{\text{pred}\} = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2\{\hat{Y}_h\} = \sigma^2(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_h - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2})$. So prediction interval can be obtained as

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm Z(1 - \alpha/2) \sigma\{\text{pred}\},$$

When σ is unknown,

$$\frac{\hat{Y}_{h(new)} - \hat{Y}_h}{s\{\text{pred}\}} \sim t_v,$$

where $s^2\{\text{pred}\} = MSE + s^2\{\hat{Y}_h\} = MSE(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_h - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2})$. So prediction interval can be obtained as

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm t(1 - \alpha/2; v) s\{\text{pred}\}$$

1.2 Constrained Statistical Inference

Statistical inference has been used in many fields. The needs of developing for modeling and analysis of observational or experimental data in constrained environments are growing. In many applications, it is reasonable to assume that there are some constraints in our statistical models which means we have more information about our model parameter space. So the models will become more efficient than those wherein constraints are ignored if we properly incorporate those information.

1.2.1 The Basics

First we consider the observations $X \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, V)$. In order-restricted regression analysis, it is more common to consider inference under null hypothesis of type $R\beta = r$ versus $R\beta \geq r$, $R\beta \neq r$, for some matrix R , vector r when $X \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, V)$. We should consider, i V is a known positive definite matrix, ii $V = \sigma^2 U$ where U is a known positive definite matrix and σ is unknown, and iii V is unknown. (Silvapulle and Sen (2004))

The following are some common terms in restricted inference,

Convex: A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^P$ is said to be convex if and only if $\{\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\} \in A$ where $x, y \in A$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Cone: A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^P$ is said to be a cone with vector x_0 if and only if $x_0 + k(x - x_0) \in A$ for every $x \in A$ and $k \geq 0$. Further if the vertex x_0 is the origin O , then A is a cone simply.

Fenchel Dual (or negative dual) Cone: $C^0 = \{\alpha : \alpha^T \theta \leq 0 \text{ for every } \theta \in C\}$ is called the dual cone of C with respect to the inner product. It can be shown that the boundaries of C^0 are the perpendiculars to the boundaries of C .

Maximum likelihood estimation:

If $X = (X_1, X_2)' \sim N(\theta, I)$, where I is the 2×2 identity matrix and $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)'$. Then for a single observation X , the *kernel* $l(\theta)$ of the loglikelihood is given by

$$-2l(\theta) = \{(X_1 - \theta_1)^2 + (X_2 - \theta_2)^2\} = \|X - \theta\|^2,$$

So in my work, I only use the *kernel* of the likelihood function to discuss our model.

1.2.2 Likelihood Ratio Test

Here is an simple example for likelihood ratio test.

Let $X = (X_1, X_2)' \sim N(\theta, I)$, where I is the 2×2 identity matrix and $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)'$.

Consider the likelihood ratio test of $H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$ vs $H_1 : \theta_1 \geq 0, \theta_2 \geq 0$,

$$LRT = \|X\|^2 - \|X - \theta^*\|^2,$$

where $\theta^* \in \{(\theta_1, \theta_2) | \theta_1 \geq 0, \theta_2 \geq 0\}$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(LRT \leq c) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 Pr(LRT \leq c \text{ and } X \in Q_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 Pr(LRT \leq c | X \in Q_i) Pr(X \in Q_i) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_1 = \{\theta_1, \theta_2 : \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 > 0\}$, $Q_2 = \{\theta_1, \theta_2 : \theta_1 < 0, \theta_2 > 0\}$, $Q_3 = \{\theta_1, \theta_2 : \theta_1 < 0, \theta_2 < 0\}$, $Q_4 = \{\theta_1, \theta_2 : \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 < 0\}$.

The null distribution of the LRT is the weighted sum of chi-square distributions, known as the chi-bar-square distribution. I use the similar method in the following chapter for hypothesis tests.

Chapter 2

First Order Model with Two Variables

2.1 Model and Assumptions

Consider the normal linear regression model with two predictor variables

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2.1)$$

or

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon,$$

where

$$Y_{n \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{n \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{21} \\ 1 & x_{12} & x_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{1n} & x_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_{3 \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_{n \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\{\epsilon_i\}$ are iid $N(0, \sigma^2)$. Let $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ be the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) of β_0 , β_1 and β_2 respectively. Let $S_{X_1}^2 = \sum X_{1i}^2$, $S_{X_2}^2 = \sum X_{2i}^2$

and $S^2 = \sum (Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} - \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i})^2 / v$ where $v = n - 3$. Then we assume $\sum X_{1i} = 0$, $\sum X_{2i} = 0$ and $\sum X_{1i} X_{2i} = 0$ to simplify our model. Then,

$$\text{cov}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}^2 & \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} X_{2i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} X_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{X_1}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_{X_2}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ are independent.

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}, Y - X\hat{\beta}) &= \text{cov}((X'X)^{-1}X'Y, Y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y) \\ &= \text{cov}((X'X)^{-1}X'Y, (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')Y) \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'(\sigma^2 I)(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X') \\ &= \sigma^2 ((X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X') = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\hat{\beta}$ and $Y - X\hat{\beta}$ are independent. Then $\hat{\beta}$ and $S^2 = (Y - X\hat{\beta})'(Y - X\hat{\beta})/v$ are independent. Thus $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$, $\hat{\beta}_2$ and S^2 are mutually independent. Following the properties of multivariate normal distribution, $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$, $\hat{\beta}_2$ and S^2 have normal distributions. They are unbiased estimators for β_0 , β_1 , β_2 and σ^2 . Hence

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sim N(\beta_0, \sigma^2/n), \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \sigma^2/S_{X_1}^2),$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 \sim N(\beta_2, \sigma^2/S_{X_2}^2), \quad vS^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_v^2,$$

where $v = n - 3$.

We consider the sign constraints for β_1 and β_2 . First I consider,

$$\beta_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 \geq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

We can always make transformations of predictor variables for other constraints of β . The restricted MLEs of β_0 , β_1 , and β_2 under the constraint (2.2) are given by

$$\beta_0^* = \hat{\beta}_0, \quad \beta_1^* = \hat{\beta}_1^+ = \max\{\hat{\beta}_1, 0\}, \quad \beta_2^* = \hat{\beta}_2^+ = \max\{\hat{\beta}_2, 0\},$$

which is obvious and reasonable.

2.2 Inferences for $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02}$

We consider inferences for the mean response $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02}$, for given point (X_{01}, X_{02}) . For example, we already known the length of patient stay in a hospital in days (Y) depends on the patient's age in years (X_{01}) and the infection risk (X_{02}). Given a patient's age and the infection risk, we want to know how long the patient will stay in hospital.

Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016) have already proposed formulas for the confidence interval for different signs of X_{01} and X_{02} .

Chapter 3

Inference for $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02}$

Mentioned by Cox and Hinkley (1972), inverting one-sided tests for "two-sample" problems can derive the correct $(1 - \alpha)$ -coefficient prediction intervals for a new observation Y . Hence I derive the prediction intervals for Y by inverting one-sided tests that test whether $\mu = E[Y|(X_{01}, X_{02})]$ exceeds or is exceeded by $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02}$, where the estimate of μ is to be obtained from the future observation Y and the estimate of $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02}$ is obtained from the observations in the past.

Here there are four possible cases based on the signs of X_{01} and X_{02} .

3.1 Test when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

First we consider the hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0L} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\leq \mu & \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0 \\ G_{0U} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\geq \mu & \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0 \\ \text{and } G_1 : \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Define a $(1 - \alpha)$ -coefficient prediction interval (L_P, U_P) for Y , where

$$L_P = \min\{Y|G_{0L} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0L}\},$$

$$U_P = \max\{Y|G_{0U} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}\}.$$

Then we use the transformation from β to r . Let $r_0 = \beta_0/\sqrt{1+1/n}$, $r_1 = S_{X_1}\beta_1$, $r_2 = S_{X_2}\beta_2$, then $\hat{r} = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)' = (\frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{\sqrt{1+1/n}}, S_{X_1}\hat{\beta}_1, S_{X_2}\hat{\beta}_2)' \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$ where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r . Let $b_1 = \frac{\mu S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}$, $c_1 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}\sqrt{1+1/n} > 0$, $d_1 = \frac{X_{01}S_{X_2}}{X_{02}S_{X_1}} > 0$. Then

$$r_0\sqrt{1+1/n} + \frac{r_1X_{01}}{S_{X_1}} + \frac{r_2X_{02}}{S_{X_2}} \leq \mu,$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1r_0 + d_1r_1 + r_2 \leq b_1,$$

$$\Rightarrow r_2 \leq b_1 - c_1r_0 - d_1r_1.$$

Hence our hypothesis can be restated in terms of r ,

$$G_{01} : 0 \leq r_2 \leq b_1 - c_1r_0 - d_1r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$G_{11} : r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0,$$

and test G_{01} against $G_a = G_{11} - G_{01}$. Suppose we use the same notation G_{01} to denote the null hypothesis region. Here note that G_{01} is a polyhedral cone with vertex $L = (b_1/c_1, 0, 0)$. If we shift G_{01} along the r_0 axis to the origin, we obtain a shifted cone K . K is a closed convex cone bounded by three hyperplanes $\{c_1r_0 + d_1r_1 + r_2 = 0, r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0\}$, $\{r_0 \leq 0, 0 \leq r_1 \leq -\frac{c_1r_0}{d_1}, r_2 = 0\}$, $\{r_0 \leq 0, r_1 = 0, 0 \leq r_2 \leq -c_1r_0\}$. Then $G_{01} = K + L$, bounded by $\{c_1r_0 + d_1r_1 + r_2 = b_1, r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0\}$, $\{r_0 \leq \frac{b_1}{c_1}, c_1r_0 + d_1r_1 \leq b_1, r_2 = 0\}$, $\{r_0 \leq \frac{b_1}{c_1}, r_1 = 0, c_1r_0 + r_2 \leq b_1\}$. Let $G_{01}^* = K^* + L$, where K^* is the dual cone of K . It can be shown that the boundaries of K^* are the perpendiculars to the boundaries of K . So the Fenchel

dual cone K^* is bounded by three hyperplane $\{r_0 \geq 0, r_1 \leq \frac{d_1}{c_1}r_0, r_2 = \frac{1}{c_1}r_0\}$, $\{r_0 \geq 0, r_1 = \frac{d_1}{c_1}r_0, r_2 \leq \frac{1}{c_1}r_0\}$, $\{r_0 = 0, r_1 \leq \frac{d_1}{c_1}r_0, r_2 \leq \frac{1}{c_1}r_0\}$. Now let $\hat{r} \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$, where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r . Hence note that the restricted MLE of r in G_{11} is $r^* = (r_0^*, r_1^*, r_2^*)' = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1^+, \hat{r}_2^+)'$, and r^* is the equal weight projection of \hat{r} onto parameter space G_{11} .

Let \bar{r} be the MLE of r under G_{01} and \bar{r} is the equal weight projection of \hat{r} onto G_{01} . When σ is known, the likelihood ratio test(LRT) rejects G_{01} for large values of the test statistic

$$\chi_{01}^{-2} = -2\log\Lambda = (||\hat{r} - \bar{r}||^2 - ||\hat{r} - r^*||^2)/\sigma^2, \quad (3.3)$$

where Λ is the kernel of LRT statistic.

Figure 3.4, we consider several different cases when \hat{r} located in several different regions. With the boundaries of G_{01} and G_{01}^* , we consider the whole region as an union of 13 disjoint regions.

Depending on the signs of \hat{r}_1 and \hat{r}_2 , we discuss the test statistic χ_{01}^{-2} in each area separately. First when $\hat{r}_1 < 0$ and $\hat{r}_2 < 0$, we partition the region $\{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_1 < 0, r_2 < 0\}$ into $S_1 = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_0 < \frac{b_1}{c_1}, r_1 < 0, r_2 < 0\}$ and $S_2 = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_0 \geq \frac{b_1}{c_1}, r_1 < 0, r_2 < 0\}$. When $\hat{r} \in S_1$, $r^* = \bar{r} = (\hat{r}_0, 0, 0)$. So $\chi_{01}^{-2} = ||r^* - \bar{r}||^2/\sigma^2 = 0$, so S_1 is inside the accept region.




Figure 3.1: The region G_{01} and boundary of the rejection region

When $\hat{r} \in S_2$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, 0, 0)$ and $\bar{r} = (\frac{b_1}{c_1}, 0, 0)$. So $\chi_{01}^{-2} = (\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 / \sigma^2$ which has chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom. Further the boundary of rejection region is $r_0 = \frac{b_1}{c_1} + C_\alpha \sigma$.

When $\hat{r}_1 < 0$ and $\hat{r}_2 \geq 0$, we can define regions $S_3 = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_0 < \frac{b_1}{c_1} - \frac{1}{c_1}r_2, r_1 < 0, r_2 \geq 0\}$, $S_4 = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_1 < 0, r_2 \geq \max\{b_1 - c_1r_0, \frac{1}{c_1}r_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2}\}\}$, and $S_5 = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_0 > c_1r_2 - \frac{b_1}{c_1}, r_1 < 0, r_2 \geq 0\}$ such that the disjoint union of S_3 , S_4 , and S_5 is the region $\{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_1 < 0, r_2 \geq 0\}$.




Figure 3.3: 2-Dimensional illustration of S_6 , S_7 and S_8

When $\hat{r} \in S_6$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, 0)$ and $\bar{r} = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, 0)$. So $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2 = \|r^* - \bar{r}\|^2 / \sigma^2 = 0$. So S_6 is inside the accept region. When $\hat{r} \in S_7$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, 0)$ and $\bar{r} = ((\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, 0) \cdot v)v$ where v is a unit vector along the line $\{c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 = b_1, r_2 = 0\}$. $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2 = \|r^* - \bar{r}\|^2 / \sigma^2 > C_\alpha^2$, which has chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom. Then the boundary of the rejection region is $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 = b_1 + \sqrt{c_1^2 + d_1^2} C_\alpha \sigma$. When $\hat{r} \in S_8$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, 0)$ and $\bar{r} = (\frac{b_1}{c_1}, 0, 0)$. $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2 = ((\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 + \hat{r}_1^2) / \sigma^2 > C_\alpha^2$ which has chi-square distribution with 2 degree of freedom. Then a part of the boundary of the rejection region is $(r_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 + r_1^2 = C_\alpha^2 \sigma^2$.

And when $\hat{r}_1 \geq 0$ and $\hat{r}_2 \geq 0$, we can define regions $S_9 = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 \leq b_1, 0 \leq r_1, 0 \leq r_2\}$, $S_{10} = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : 0 \leq r_1 \leq \frac{c_1 d_1}{1+c_1^2} r_0 + \frac{d_1}{1+c_1^2}, r_2 \geq \frac{1}{c_1} r_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2}\}$, $S_{11} = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_1 \geq \frac{d_1}{c_1} r_0 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{c_1^2}, 0 \leq r_2 \leq \frac{c_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2} r_0 + \frac{d_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2} r_1 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2}\}$, $S_{13} = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : 0 \leq r_1 < \frac{d_1}{c_1} r_0 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{c_1^2}, 0 \leq r_2 \leq \frac{1}{c_1} r_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2}\}$, $S_{12} = \{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0\} - S_9 \cup S_{10} \cup S_{11} \cup S_{13}$, such that the disjoint union of S_9 , S_{10} , S_{11} , S_{12} , and S_{13} is the region $\{(r_0, r_1, r_2) : r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0\}$.




Figure 3.4: 3-Dimensional view of disjoint regions S_9 , S_{10} , S_{11} , S_{12} and S_{13}

When $\hat{r} \in S_9$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)$ and $\bar{r} = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)$. $\chi_{01}^{-2} = \|r^* - \bar{r}\|^2 / \sigma^2 = 0$. So S_9 is inside the accept region. When $\hat{r} \in S_{10}$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)$ and $\bar{r} = ((\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2) \cdot u)u$ where u is a unit vector along the line $\{c_1 r_0 + r_2 = b_1, r_1 = 0\}$. $\chi_{01}^{-2} = \|r^* - \bar{r}\|^2 / \sigma^2 > C_\alpha^2$, which has to chi-square distribution with 2 degree of freedom. The boundary of the rejection region is a part of a rotated cylinder, $r_1^2 + [\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2}}r_2 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2}}(r_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})]^2 = C_\alpha^2 \sigma^2$. When $\hat{r} \in S_{11}$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)$ and $\bar{r} = ((\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2) \cdot v)v$ where v is a unit vector along the line $\{c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 = b_1, r_2 = 0\}$. $\chi_{01}^{-2} = \|r^* - \bar{r}\|^2 / \sigma^2 > C_\alpha^2$ which belongs to chi-square distribution with 2 degree of freedom. The boundary of the rejection region is a part of a rotated cylinder: $r_2^2 + [\frac{d_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2+d_1^2}}r_2 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2+d_1^2}}(r_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})]^2 = C_\alpha^2 \sigma^2$. When $\hat{r} \in S_{12}$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)$ and $\bar{r} = ((\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2) \cdot w)w$ where w is a unit vector along the line LB. $\chi_{01}^{-2} = \|r^* - \bar{r}\|^2 / \sigma^2 > C_\alpha^2$ which belongs to chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom. The boundary of the rejection region is hyperplane above G_{01} , which is $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 = b_1 + \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} C_\alpha \sigma$. When $\hat{r} \in S_{13}$, $r^* = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)$ and $\bar{r} = (\frac{b_1}{c_1}, 0, 0)$. $\chi_{01}^{-2} = \|r^* - \bar{r}\|^2 / \sigma^2 = [(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 + (\hat{r}_1)^2 + (\hat{r}_2)^2] / \sigma^2 > C_\alpha^2$ which belongs to chi-square distribution with 3 degree of freedom. The boundary of the

rejection region is a part of sphere surface $(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 + \hat{r}_1^2 + \hat{r}_2^2 = C_\alpha^2 \sigma^2$. The least favorable null value of χ_{01}^{-2} is attained at $r = L = (\frac{b_1}{c_1}, 0, 0)$ and

$$\sup_{r \in G_{01}} Pr_r\{\hat{r} : \|\bar{r} - r^*\|^2 \geq C_\alpha^2 \sigma^2\} = Pr_L\{\|\bar{r} - r^*\| \geq C_\alpha \sigma\}. \quad (3.4)$$

The proof of above result is given by Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016). When \hat{r} is attained the least favorable null value, the distribution of LRT $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2$ is given by folloing formula. (See Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016) for the proof and more details.)

The least favorable null distribution of LRT is

$$Pr(LRT \leq t | \hat{r} = L) = \sum_{i=0}^3 w_i P(\chi_i^2 \leq t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= (4\pi)^{-1} \left(\cos^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2}} + \cos^{-1} \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2+d_1^2}} + \cos^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cos^{-1} \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} \right), \\ w_1 &= (4\pi)^{-1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi + \cos^{-1} \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{(1+c_1^2)(c_1^2+d_1^2)}} \right), \\ w_2 &= (4\pi)^{-1} \left(\pi + \cos^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{1+c_1^2}}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} + \cos^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{c_1^2+d_1^2}}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \cos^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2}} - \cos^{-1} \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2+d_1^2}} \right), \\ w_3 &= (4\pi)^{-1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi - \cos^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{1+c_1^2}}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} - \cos^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{c_1^2+d_1^2}}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} - \cos^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \cos^{-1} \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{1+c_1^2+d_1^2}} - \cos^{-1} \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{(1+c_1^2)(c_1^2+d_1^2)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

And the prediction upper bound is

$$U_P = \max\{Y | G_{0U} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}\}.$$

Use the transformation from β to r . Let $r_0 = \beta_0/\sqrt{1+1/n}$, $r_1 = S_{X_1}\beta_1$, $r_2 = S_{X_2}\beta_2$ then $\hat{r} = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)' = (\beta_0/\sqrt{1+1/n}, S_{X_1}\hat{\beta}_1, S_{X_2}\hat{\beta}_2)' \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$ where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r . Let $b'_1 = \frac{\mu S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}$, $c_1 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}\sqrt{1+1/n} > 0$, $d_1 = \frac{X_{01}S_{X_2}}{X_{02}S_{X_1}} > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} r_0\sqrt{1+1/n} + \frac{r_1X_{01}}{S_{X_1}} + \frac{r_2X_{02}}{S_{X_2}} &\geq \mu, \\ \Rightarrow c_1r_0 + d_1r_1 + r_2 &\geq b'_1, \\ \Rightarrow r_2 &\geq b'_1 - c_1r_0 - d_1r_1, \end{aligned}$$

Hence our hypothesis is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{01} : r_2 &\geq b'_1 - c_1r_0 - d_1r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0, \\ H_{11} : r_1 &\geq 0 \quad r_2 \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and test H_{01} against $H_a = H_{11} - H_{01}$. Similarly, to illustrate the construction of rejection region, we need the boundaries of H_{01} in the r form. Let K' be the shifted cone of H_{01} , and $K^{*'}$ be the dual cone of K' . And $H_{01} = K' + L'$ and $H'_{01} = K^{*'} + L'$, where $L' = (b'_1/c_1, 0, 0)$. Then we can get the 6 regions divided by the boundaries of K' and $K^{*'}$.

Now let $\hat{r} \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$, where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r . And the restricted MLE of β is $\beta^* = (\beta_0^*, \beta_1^*, \beta_2^*)'$ in section 2.1. Hence we can define the restricted MLE of r is $r^* = (r_0^*, r_1^*, r_2^*)' = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1^+, \hat{r}_2^+)',$ and r^* is the equal weight projection of \hat{r} onto parameter space H_{11} . Let \bar{r} be the MLE of r under H_{01} and \bar{r} is the equal weight projection of \hat{r} onto H_{01} . When σ is known, the likelihood ratio test(LRT) rejects H_{01} for large values of the test statistic is

$$\bar{\chi}_{01}^2 = -2\log\Lambda = (\|\hat{r} - \bar{r}\|^2 - \|\hat{r} - r^*\|^2)/\sigma^2, \tag{3.7}$$

where Λ is the kernel of LRT statistic.

According to the discussion in Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016), the least favorable null value of LRT(2.8) is attained at $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty, s \rightarrow \infty} (b'_1/c_1 - s - c_1 t, c_1 t, c_1 s)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{r \in H_{01}} Pr_r \{ \hat{r} : \|\hat{r} - \bar{r}\|^2 - \|\hat{r} - r^*\|^2 \geq D_\alpha^2 \sigma^2 \} \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty, s \rightarrow \infty} Pr_{(b'_1/c_1 - s - c_1 t, c_1 t, c_1 s)} \{ \bar{\chi}_{01}^2 > D_\alpha^2 \sigma^2 \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Also, the null critical value is $D_\alpha^2 = \chi_{1,\alpha}^2$.




Figure 3.5: H_{01} and Rejection region

Shown in figure 3.5, we consider the whole region as an union of several disjoint areas. Depending on the signs of \hat{r}_1 and \hat{r}_2 , I discuss the test statistic $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2$ in each area separately. However I can not obtain the exact rejection region to get confidence and prediction intervals. Hence we need to modify our likelihood ratio test under same power.

Consider the hypothesis without the restrictions $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{01}^{**} : c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 &\geq b'_1, \\ H_{11}^{**} : c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 &< b'_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Then the null hypothesis is exactly same as the unrestricted case. LRT rejects H_{01}^{**} for small values $\chi_{03} = \frac{c_1 \hat{r}_0 + d_1 \hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_2 - b'_1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} \sigma}$. So the rejection region of LRT is $\{\hat{r} : \chi_{03} < -X_\alpha \sigma\}$. Here rejection region for the unrestricted LRT contained that for the restricted LRT. So the unrestricted LRT is more powerful than the restricted LRT. But this creates a philosophical dilemma in some cases. In some cases, we will reject H_{01} under unrestricted LRT but will not reject it under restricted LRT. So we need to modify LRT. Then consider following four regions. We use a similar idea as that for two dimensional model $EY = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1$ discussed in Mukerjee and Tu (1995). We consider four regions in \mathbb{R}^3 : S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , and S_4 , where $S_2 = \{r : r_1 \leq -\frac{1}{d_1} \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma, r_2 \geq 0\}$, $S_3 = \{r : r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \leq -\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma\}$, $S_4 = \{r : r_1 < 0, r_2 < \min\{0, -d_1 r_1 - \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma\}\}$, and $S_1 = \mathbb{R}^3 - S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$. The boundary of the H_{01} which is $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 = b'_1$ meets the hyperplane $\{r_2 = 0\}$ on the line $\{r_2 = 0, c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 = b'_1\}$ and the hyperplane $\{r_1 = 0\}$ on the line $\{r_1 = 0, c_1 r_0 + r_2 = b'_1\}$. Hyperplane $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 = b'_1 - \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma$ and hyperplane $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 = b'_1$ intersect on the line $r_2 = -\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma$. Hyperplane $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 = b'_1 - \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma$ and hyperplane $c_1 r_0 + r_2 = b'_1$ intersect on the hyperplane $r_1 = -\frac{1}{d_1} \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma$.

To keep the same rejection level α , we modify LRT as follows, when $\hat{r} \in S_1$, we use the same boundary of the rejection region of the unrestricted case, which is $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 = b'_1 - \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma$. When $\hat{r} \in S_2$, we already know the intersection of hyperplane $c_1 r_0 + d_1 r_1 + r_2 = b'_1 - \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma$ and S_2 's boundary $r_1 = -\frac{1}{d_1} \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma$ is the plane $\{c_1 r_0 + r_2 = b'_1, r_1 = -\frac{1}{d_1} \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_\alpha \sigma\}$.

So let $c_1r_0 + r_2 = b'_1$ as a part of the boundaries of rejection region in S_2 . Similarly when $\hat{r} \in S_4$, we let $c_1r_0 + d_1r_1 = b'_1$ as a part of boundaries of rejection region in S_4 . when $\hat{r} \in S_3$, we let $c_1r_0 = b'_1$ as a part of boundaries of rejection region in S_3 .

3.2 Test when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

We consider the hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0L} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\leq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ G_{0U} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\geq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ \text{and } G_1 : \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Define a $(1 - \alpha)$ -coefficient prediction interval (L_P, U_P) for Y , where

$$L_P = \min\{Y | G_{0L} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0L}\},$$

$$U_P = \max\{Y | G_{0U} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}\}.$$

Then we can make a tranformation, where $X_{01}^* = -X_{01} > 0$, $X_{02}^* = -X_{02} > 0$, $\beta_0^* = -\beta_0$, and $\mu^* = -\mu$. Then the new hypothesis will be,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0L}^* : \beta_0^* + \beta_1 X_{01}^* + \beta_2 X_{02}^* &\geq \mu^* & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ G_{0U}^* : \beta_0^* + \beta_1 X_{01}^* + \beta_2 X_{02}^* &\leq \mu^* & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ \text{and } G_1 : \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Define a $(1 - \alpha)$ -coefficient prediction interval (L_P, U_P) for Y , where

$$L_P^* = \min\{Y | G_{0L}^* \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0L}^*\} = U_P,$$

$$U_P^* = \max\{Y | G_{0U}^* \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}^*\} = L_P,$$

where L_P and U_P are in section 3.1. Hence the formulas for rejection region and prediction intervals can be obtained using the symmetric property and are shown in next chapter.

3.3 Test when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

Then we consider the hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0L} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\leq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ G_{0U} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\geq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ \text{and } G_1 : \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Define a $(1 - \alpha)$ -coefficient prediction interval (L_P, U_P) for Y , where

$$L_P = \min\{Y | G_{0L} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0L}\},$$

$$U_P = \max\{Y | G_{0U} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}\}.$$

We use the transformation from β to r . Let $r_0 = \beta_0 / \sqrt{1 + 1/n}$, $r_1 = S_{X_1} \beta_1$, $r_2 = S_{X_2} \beta_2$, then $\hat{r} = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)' = (\hat{\beta}_0 / \sqrt{1 + 1/n}, S_{X_1} \hat{\beta}_1, S_{X_2} \hat{\beta}_2)' \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$, where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r .

And $b_2 = \frac{\mu S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}$, $c_2 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + 1/n} < 0$, $d_2 = \frac{X_{01} S_{X_2}}{X_{02} S_{X_1}} < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 \sqrt{1 + 1/n} + \frac{r_1 X_{01}}{S_{X_1}} + \frac{r_2 X_{02}}{S_{X_2}} &\leq \mu \\ \Rightarrow c_2 r_0 + d_2 r_1 + r_2 &\geq b_2 \\ \Rightarrow r_2 &\geq b_2 - c_2 r_0 - d_2 r_1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence our hypothesis in terms of r is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{03} : r_2 &\geq b_2 - c_2 r_0 - d_2 r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0, \\ G_{13} : r_1 &\geq 0 \quad r_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$




Figure 3.6: G_{03} and Rejection region

Suppose we use the same notation G_{03} to denote the null hypothesis region. Here note that G_{03} is a polyhedral cone with vertex $L = (b_1/c_1, 0, 0)$. If we shift G_{03} along the r_0 axis to the origin, we obtain a shifted cone K . K is the closed

convex cone bounded by three hyperplanes $\{c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 = 0, r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0\}$, $\{r_1 = 0, c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 \leq 0, r_2 \leq 0\}$, $\{r_2 = 0, c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 \geq 0, r_1 \geq 0\}$.

Then $G_{03} = K + L$, bounded by $\{c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 = b_2, r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq b_2\}$, $\{r_1 = 0, c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 \leq b_2, r_2 \leq 0\}$, $\{r_2 = 0, c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 \geq b_2, r_1 \geq 0\}$.

Recall the definition of dual cone, let $G_{03}^* = K^* + L$, where K^* is the dual cone of K . It can be shown that the boundaries of K^* are the perpendiculars to the boundaries of K . So the Fenchel dual cone G_{03}^* is bounded by three hyperplane $\{r_0 - \frac{c_2}{d_2}r_1 \leq \frac{b_2}{c_2}, r_0 \leq \frac{b_2}{c_2}, r_0 - c_2r_2 = \frac{b_2}{c_2}\}$, $\{r_0 - \frac{c_2}{d_2}r_1 = \frac{b_2}{c_2}, r_0 \leq \frac{b_2}{c_2}, r_0 - c_2r_2 \leq \frac{b_2}{c_2}\}$, $\{r_0 - \frac{c_2}{d_2}r_1 \leq \frac{b_2}{c_2}, r_0 = \frac{b_2}{c_2}, r_0 - c_2r_2 \leq \frac{b_2}{c_2}\}$. Now let $\hat{r} \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$, where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r . And the restricted MLE of β is $\beta^* = (\beta_0^*, \beta_1^*, \beta_2^*)'$ in section 2.1. Hence we can define the restricted MLE of r is $r^* = (r_0^*, r_1^*, r_2^*)' = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1^+, \hat{r}_2^+)'$, and r^* is the equal weight projection of \hat{r} onto parameter space G_{13} .

Let \bar{r} be the MLE of r under G_{03} and \bar{r} is the equal weight projection of \hat{r} onto G_{03} . When σ is known, the likelihood ratio test(LRT) rejects G_{03} for large values of the test statistic is

$$\chi_{03}^2 = -2\log\Lambda = (\|\hat{r} - \bar{r}\|^2 - \|\hat{r} - r^*\|^2)/\sigma^2, \quad (3.14)$$

where Λ is the kernel of LRT statistic.

So the rejection region with a level α is $\{(\|\hat{r} - \bar{r}\|^2 - \|\hat{r} - r^*\|^2) > E_\alpha^2 \sigma^2\}$, where E_α is the critical value. Similar as previous section, when $r_2 \geq 0$, the rejection region is $\{\|\hat{r} - \bar{r}\|^2 > E_\alpha^2 \sigma^2\}$. We can obtain boundaries of the rejection region $\{c_2r_0 + r_2 \leq b_2 - \sqrt{1 + c_2^2}F_\alpha\sigma, r_1 < 0\}$, $\{r_1^2 + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2}}r_2 + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2}}(r_0 - \frac{b_2}{c_2}))^2 = F_\alpha^2\sigma^2, 0 \leq r_1 \leq \frac{c_2d_2}{1+c_2^2}r_0 + \frac{d_2}{1+c_2^2}r_2 - \frac{b_2d_2}{1+c_2^2}\}$, $\{c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 = b_2 - \sqrt{1 + c_2^2 + d_2^2}F_\alpha\sigma, r_1 \geq \max\{0, \frac{c_2d_2}{1+c_2^2}r_0 + \frac{d_2}{1+c_2^2}r_2 - \frac{b_2d_2}{1+c_2^2}\}\}$.

But when $r_2 < 0$, the rejection region has a complicated formulas and it is hard

to illustrate them with figures. We propose a new modified rejection region which is similar as previous section.

The least favorable null value and the least favorable distribution is given in Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016). So the least favorable null value of $\bar{\chi}_{03}^2$ is attained at infinity with $\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow \infty} (r_0, 0, b_2 - c_2 r_0)$ and

$$\sup_{r \in G_{03}} Pr_r\{\hat{r} : (||\hat{r} - \bar{r}||^2 - ||\hat{r} - r^*||^2)/\sigma^2 \geq E_\alpha^2\} = \lim_{r_0 \rightarrow \infty} Pr_{(r_0, 0, b_2 - c_2 r_0)}\{\bar{\chi}_{03}^2 > E_\alpha^2 \sigma^2\}.$$

The least favorable null distribution of LRT is,

$$\sup_{r \in G_{03}} Pr(LRT \geq c) = (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\theta_1}{2\pi})P(\chi_0^2 \geq c) + \frac{1}{2}P(\chi_1^2 \geq c) + (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\theta_1}{2\pi})P(\chi_2^2 \geq c),$$

where θ_1 is the angle between hyperplane $C_2 r_0 + d_2 r_1 + r_2 = b_2$ and hyperplane $r_1 = 0$

To obtain the modified LRT, first we consider hypothesis (2.13) without the restriction $r_2 \geq 0$,

$$M_{02} : r_2 \geq b_2 - c_2 r_0 - d_2 r_1 \quad r_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{against} \quad M_{12} : r_1 \geq 0.$$

So the new LRT rejects M_{02} for large values is,

$$\bar{\chi}_{03}^2 = (||\hat{r} - \bar{r}||^2 - ||\hat{r} - r^{**}||^2)/\sigma^2,$$

where \bar{r} is the MLE under M_{02} and r^{**} is the MLE under M_{12} .




Figure 3.7: M_{02} and Rejection region and dual cone M_{02}^*

We can have the projection of those regions' boundaries to the hyperplane which the intersection line of regions is orthogonal to. Then the discussion for rejection region is similar to two predictor variables model case (Mukerjee and Tu (1995)). Hence when $r_1 < 0$, divide the region into two parts S_1 and S_2 , where $S_1 = \{r : r_1 < 0, c_2 r_0 + r_2 \geq b_2\}$, $S_2 = \{r : r_1 < 0, c_2 r_0 + r_2 < b_2\}$, and obtain the center axis which is the intersection line of five regions, where $\{c_2 r_0 + r - 2 = b_2, r_1 = 0\}$ When $\hat{r} \in S_1$, $\chi_{03}^{-2} = \|r^{**} - \bar{r}\|^2 = 0$ where $\bar{r} = r^{**} = (\hat{r}_0, 0, \hat{r}_2)$. So S_1 is in the acceptance region.

When $\hat{r} \in S_2$, $\chi_{03}^{-2} = \|r^{**} - \bar{r}\|^2 = \|(\hat{r}_0, 0, \hat{r}_2) - ((\hat{r}_0, 0, \hat{r}_2) \cdot u)u\|^2 \geq F_\alpha^2 \sigma^2$ where $\bar{r} = ((\hat{r}_0, 0, \hat{r}_2) \cdot u)u$ and $r^{**} = (\hat{r}_0, 0, \hat{r}_2)$ It has chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom. The boundary of rejection region is a hyperplane parallelly above the M_{02} and has $F_\alpha \sigma$ distance to the hyperplane $c_2 r_0 + r_2 = b_2$.

for (3.10), keep the same boundary of LRT for (3.10) when $r_2 \geq -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_\alpha\sigma$. Note that the hyperplane $c_2r_0 + r_2 = b_2 - \sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_\alpha\sigma$ and $r_0 = \frac{b_2}{c_2}$ intersected at $r_2 = -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_\alpha\sigma$. When $r_2 < -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_\alpha\sigma$, modify the rejection region with "cut-off". I propose a hyperplane $r_0 = \frac{b_2}{c_2}$ as the part boundary of the rejection region. And I propose a curved plane which is parallel to r_2 axis and a hyperplane which is $\{c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 = b_2 - (\sqrt{1+c_2^2+d_2^2} - \sqrt{1+c_2^2})E_\alpha\sigma\}$

We consider another hypothesis G_{0U} against $G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}$ because

$$U_P = \max\{Y|G_{0U} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}\}.$$

We use the transformation from β to r . Let $r_0 = \beta_0/\sqrt{1+1/n}$, $r_1 = S_{X_1}\beta_1$, $r_2 = S_{X_2}\beta_2$, then $\hat{r} = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)' = (\hat{\beta}_0/\sqrt{1+1/n}, S_{X_1}\hat{\beta}_1, S_{X_2}\hat{\beta}_2)' \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$ where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r . And $b_2 = \frac{\mu S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}$, $c_2 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}\sqrt{1+1/n} < 0$, $d_2 = \frac{X_{01}S_{X_2}}{X_{02}S_{X_1}} < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_0\sqrt{1+1/n} + \frac{r_1X_{01}}{S_{X_1}} + \frac{r_2X_{02}}{S_{X_2}} &\geq \mu, \\ \Rightarrow c_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 &\leq b_2, \\ \Rightarrow r_2 &\leq b_2 - c_2r_0 - d_2r_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence our hypothesis in terms of r is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{03} : 0 &\leq r_2 \leq b_2 - c_2r_0 - d_2r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0, \\ H_{13} : r_1 &\geq 0 \quad r_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$




Figure 3.9: H_{03} and Rejection region

Here I note that the null region H_{03} is a mirror image of the null region G_{03} in the previous section.

Considering hypothesis without the restriction $r_1 \geq 0$, keep the boudary of rejection region which is same as in (3.12) when $r_1 \geq \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_\alpha \sigma$. Then I propose a modified LRT when $r_1 < \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_\alpha \sigma$.

The least favorable null value of χ_{03}^{-2} is attained at infinity with $\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow \infty} (r_0, \frac{b'_2 - c_2 r_0}{d_2}, 0)$ and

$$\sup_{r \in H_{03}} Pr_r \{ \hat{r} : (||\hat{r} - \bar{r}||^2 - ||\hat{r} - r^*||^2) / \sigma^2 \geq K_\alpha^2 \} = \lim_{r_0 \rightarrow -\infty} Pr_{(r_0, \frac{b'_2 - c_2 r_0}{d_2}, 0)} \{ \chi_{03}^{-2} > K_\alpha^2 \sigma^2 \}$$

The least favorable distribution of LRT is,

$$Pr(LRT \leq c) = (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\theta_2}{2\pi}) P(\chi_0^2 \leq c) + \frac{1}{2} P(\chi_1^2 \leq c) + (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\theta_2}{2\pi}) P(\chi_2^2 \leq c),$$

where θ_2 is the anlge between hyperplane $C_2r_0 + d_2r_1 + r_2 = b'_2$ and hyperplane $r_2 = 0$ (See more details in Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016)).

3.4 Test when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

Then we consider the hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0L} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\leq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ G_{0U} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\geq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ \text{and } G_1 : \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Define a $(1 - \alpha)$ -coefficient prediction interval (L_P, U_P) for Y, where

$$L_P = \min\{Y | G_{0L} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0L}\},$$

$$U_P = \max\{Y | G_{0U} \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}\}.$$

Then we can make a tranformation, where $X_{01}^* = -X_{01} > 0$, $X_{02}^* = -X_{02} < 0$, $\beta_0^* = -\beta_0$, and $\mu^* = -\mu$. Then the new hypothesis will be,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0L}^* : \beta_0^* + \beta_1 X_{01}^* + \beta_2 X_{02}^* &\geq \mu^* & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ G_{0U}^* : \beta_0^* + \beta_1 X_{01}^* + \beta_2 X_{02}^* &\leq \mu^* & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0, \\ \text{and } G_1 : \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Define a $(1 - \alpha)$ -coefficient prediction interval (L_P, U_P) for Y, where

$$L_P^* = \min\{Y | G_{0L}^* \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0L}^*\} = U_P,$$

$$U_P^* = \max\{Y | G_{0U}^* \text{ is accepted at level } \alpha/2 \text{ against } G_a = G_1 - G_{0U}^*\} = L_P.$$

where L_P and U_P are in section 3.3. Hence the formulas for rejection region and prediction intervals can be obtained using symmetric properties of these cases as shown in next chapter.




Figure 3.10: G_{04} and Rejection region

Chapter 4

Formulas for The Rejection Region

4.1 when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

For hypothesis (3.2)

$$G_{01} : 0 \leq r_2 \leq b_1 - c_1 r_0 - d_1 r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0,$$

$$G_{11} : r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0.$$

The rejection region of r form,

1. $\{\hat{r}_0 \geq \frac{b_1}{c_1} + C_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{r}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{r}_2 < 0\},$
2. $\{(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 + \hat{r}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2, \quad \hat{r}_1 < 0, \quad 0 \leq \hat{r}_2 < \frac{1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2}\},$
3. $\{(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 + \hat{r}_1^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2, \quad 0 \leq \hat{r}_1 < \frac{d_1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{c_1^2}, \quad \hat{r}_2 < 0\},$
4. $\{(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1})^2 + \hat{r}_1^2 + \hat{r}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2, \quad 0 \leq \hat{r}_1 < \frac{d_1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{c_1^2}, \quad 0 \leq \hat{r}_2 < \frac{1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2}\},$
5. $\{c_1\hat{r}_0 + \hat{r}_2 \geq b_1 + \sqrt{1 + c_1^2}C_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{r}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{r}_2 \geq \frac{1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2}\},$
6. $\{c_1\hat{r}_0 + d_1\hat{r}_1 - b_1 \geq \sqrt{c_1^2 + d_1^2}C_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{r}_1 \geq \frac{d_1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{c_1^2}, \quad \hat{r}_2 < 0\},$
7. $\{\hat{r}_1^2 + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}}\hat{r}_2 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}}(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1}))^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{r}_1 < \frac{c_1 d_1}{1 + c_1^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_1}{1 + c_1^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{1 + c_1^2}, \quad \hat{r}_2 \geq \frac{1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2}\},$
8. $\{\hat{r}_2^2 + (\frac{d_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + d_1^2}}\hat{r}_1 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + d_1^2}}(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1}{c_1}))^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{r}_2 < \frac{c_1 d_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2}, \quad \hat{r}_1 \geq \frac{d_1}{c_1}\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{c_1^2}\},$
9. $\{c_1\hat{r}_0 + d_1\hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_2 - b_1 \geq C_{\alpha/2}\sigma\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2},$
 $\hat{r}_1 \geq \max\{0, \frac{c_1 d_1}{1 + c_1^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_1}{1 + c_1^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_1 d_1}{1 + c_1^2}\},$
 $\hat{r}_2 \geq \max\{0, \frac{c_1 d_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_1}{c_1^2 + d_1^2}\}\}.$

Then we have the transformation from r to β . Let $r_0 = \beta_0/\sqrt{1 + 1/n}$, $r_1 = S_{X_1}\beta_1$, $r_2 = S_{X_2}\beta_2$, then $\hat{r} = (\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2)' = (\frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{\sqrt{1 + 1/n}}, S_{X_1}\hat{\beta}_1, S_{X_2}\hat{\beta}_2)' \sim N_3(r, \sigma^2 I)$ where \hat{r} is the unrestricted MLE of r . And $b_1 = \frac{\mu S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}$, $c_1 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}\sqrt{1 + 1/n} > 0$, $d_1 = \frac{X_{01}S_{X_2}}{X_{02}S_{X_1}} > 0$.

Then we transform to the original variables,

rejection region of $\hat{\beta}$ form,

1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 \geq \mu + C_{\alpha/2}\sigma\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0\},$
2. $\{\frac{1}{1 + 1/n}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 + S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)\},$
3. $\{\frac{1}{1 + 1/n}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 + S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu), \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0\},$
4. $\{\frac{1}{1 + 1/n}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 + S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 + S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu),$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)\},$
5. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} > \mu + \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}C_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)\},$
6. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} > \mu + \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}C_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu), \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0\},$
7. $\{S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 + (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})}(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \mu), \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)\},$
8. $\{S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 + (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n}X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu), \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{1}{n})}(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \mu)\},$
9. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} > \mu + C_{\alpha/2}\sigma\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}},$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq \max\{0, \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})}(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \mu)\},$
 $\hat{\beta}_2 \geq \max\{0, \frac{X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})}(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \mu)\}\}.$

For hypothesis (3.6)

$$H_{01} : r_2 \geq b'_1 - c_1 r_0 - d_1 r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0$$

$$H_{11} : r_1 \geq 0 \quad r_2 \geq 0$$

rejection region in terms of \hat{r} ,

1. $\{c_1 \hat{r}_0 + \hat{r}_2 \leq b'_1, \quad \hat{r}_1 \leq \frac{-1}{d_1} \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{r}_2 \geq 0\},$
2. $\{c_1 \hat{r}_0 + d_1 \hat{r}_1 \leq b'_1, \quad \hat{r}_1 \geq 0, \quad \hat{r}_2 \leq -\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\},$
3. $\{\hat{r}_0 < \frac{b'_1}{c_1}, \quad \hat{r}_1 \leq 0, \quad \hat{r}_2 \leq \min\{0, -d_1 \hat{r}_1 - \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\},$
4. $\{\hat{r}_0 + d_1 \hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_2 \leq b'_1 - \sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + d_1^2} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \text{otherwise}\}.$

Then we transform to the original variables,

rejection region in terms of $\hat{\beta}$,

1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \leq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq 0\},$
2. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} \leq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\},$
3. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 \leq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \min\{0, -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\}\},$
4. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \leq \mu - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \text{otherwise}\}.$

4.2 when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

rejection region lower bound in section 4.1.

1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02}^* \leq \mu^*, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}^*} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq 0\}$
2. $\{\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01}^* \leq \mu^*, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < -\frac{1}{X_{02}^*} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\}$
3. $\{\hat{\beta}_0^* \leq \mu^*, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \min\{0, -\frac{1}{X_{02}^*} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\}\}$
4. $\{\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01}^* + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02}^* \leq \mu^* - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \text{otherwise}\}$

Tranform to $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$ case,

- $$\Rightarrow$$
1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \geq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq 0\},$
 2. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} \geq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\},$
 3. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 \geq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \min\{0, \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma\}\},$
 4. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \geq \mu + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \text{otherwise}\}.$

rejection region upper bound in section 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1. \{ \hat{\beta}_0^* \geq \mu^* + C_{\alpha/2} \sigma \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \}, \\
& 2. \{ \frac{1}{1 + 1/n} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*)^2 + S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}^*}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*) \}, \\
& 3. \{ \frac{1}{1 + 1/n} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*)^2 + S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}^*}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*), \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \}, \\
& 4. \{ \frac{1}{1 + 1/n} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*)^2 + S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 + S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \\
& \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}^*}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*), 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}^*}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*) \}, \\
& 5. \{ \hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02}^* \geq \mu^* + \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} C_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}^*}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*) \}, \\
& 6. \{ \hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01}^* \geq \mu^* + \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} C_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}^*}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*), \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \}, \\
& 7. \{ S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 + (\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02}^* - \mu^*)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2}} \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \\
& \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{X_{01}^*}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02}^* - \mu^*), \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}^*}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*) \}, \\
& 8. \{ S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 + (\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01}^* - \mu^*)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2}} \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}^*}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0^* - \mu^*), \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{X_{02}^*}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01}^* - \mu^*) \}, \\
& 9. \{ \hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01}^* + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02}^* \geq \mu^* + C_{\alpha/2} \sigma \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2}}, \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \max\{0, \frac{X_{01}^*}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{02}^{*2}}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02}^* - \mu^*) \}, \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \max\{0, \frac{X_{02}^*}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0^* + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01}^* - \mu^*) \} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Transform to $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$ case,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow 1. \{ \hat{\beta}_0 \leq \mu - C_{\alpha/2} \sigma \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \}, \\
&2. \{ \frac{1}{1 + 1/n} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 + S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu) \}, \\
&3. \{ \frac{1}{1 + 1/n} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 + S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu), \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \}, \\
&4. \{ \frac{1}{1 + 1/n} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 + S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 + S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \\
&\quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu), \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu) \}, \\
&5. \{ \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \leq \mu - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} C_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu) \}, \\
&6. \{ \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} \leq \mu - \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} C_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu), \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \}, \\
&7. \{ S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 + (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \\
&\quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \mu), \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu) \}, \\
&8. \{ S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 + (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} \geq C_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2, \\
&\quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/n} X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu), \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \mu) \}, \\
&9. \{ \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \leq \mu - C_{\alpha/2} \sigma \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}, \\
&\quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \max\{0, \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \mu)\}, \\
&\quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \max\{0, \frac{X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})} (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \mu)\} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.3 when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

For hypothesis (3.13)

$$G_{03} : r_2 \geq b_2 - c_2 r_0 - d_2 r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0$$

$$G_{13} : r_1 \geq 0 \quad r_2 \geq 0.$$

rejection region lower bound of r form,

1. $\{\hat{r}_0 > \frac{b_2}{c_2}, \quad \hat{r}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{r}_2 < -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\}$
2. $\{(c_2\hat{r}_0 - (b_2 + \sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma))^2 + (1+c_2^2)\hat{r}_1^2 \geq (1+c_2^2)E_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{r}_1 < \frac{c_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}, \quad \hat{r}_2 < -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\}$
3. $\{c_2\hat{r}_0 + d_2\hat{r}_1 \leq b_2 - (\sqrt{1+c_2^2+d_2^2} - \sqrt{1+c_2^2})E_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $\hat{r}_1 \geq \max\{0, \frac{c_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}\}, \quad \hat{r}_2 < -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\}$
4. $\{c_2\hat{r}_0 + \hat{r}_2 \leq b_2 - \sqrt{1+c_2^2}, \quad \hat{r}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{r}_2 > -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\}$
5. $\{\hat{r}_1^2 + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2}}\hat{r}_2 + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2}}(\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b_2}{c_2}))^2 \geq E_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $0 < \hat{r}_1 \leq \frac{c_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}, \quad \hat{r}_2 \geq -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\}$
6. $\{c_2\hat{r}_0 + d_2\hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_2 \leq b_2 - \sqrt{1+c_2^2+d_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $\hat{r}_1 \geq \max\{0, \frac{c_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{1+c_2^2}\hat{r}_2 - \frac{b_2 d_2}{1+c_2^2}\}, \quad \hat{r}_2 \geq -\sqrt{1+c_2^2}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\}$

We use the transformation from r to β . Let $r_0 = \beta_0/\sqrt{1+1/n}$, $r_1 = S_{X_1}\beta_1$, $r_2 = S_{X_2}\beta_2$, $b_2 = \frac{\mu S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}$, $c_2 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{X_{02}}\sqrt{1+1/n} < 0$, $d_2 = \frac{X_{01}S_{X_2}}{X_{02}S_{X_1}} < 0$,

1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 > \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}}\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\},$
2. $\{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma)^2 + (\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})S_{X_1}^2\hat{\beta}_1^2$
 $\geq (\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})E_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2)\frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}\frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}},$
 $\hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}}\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\},$
3. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 \geq \mu + (\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}})E_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2)\frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}\frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}}\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\},$
4. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2X_{02} \geq \mu + \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0,$
 $\hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}}\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\},$
5. $\{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2)^2\frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} + S_{X_1}^2\hat{\beta}_1^2 \geq E_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2)\frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}\frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}}\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\},$
6. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2X_{02} \geq \mu + \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2)\frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2}\frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}}\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}E_{\alpha/2}\sigma\}.$

For hypothesis (3.15)

$$H_{03} : 0 \leq r_2 \leq b_2 - c_2 r_0 - d_2 r_1, \quad r_1 \geq 0,$$

$$H_{13} : r_1 \geq 0 \quad r_2 \geq 0.$$

rejection region upper bound of r form,

1. $\{\hat{r}_0 \leq \frac{b'_2}{c_2}, \quad \hat{r}_1 < \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{r}_2 < 0\},$
2. $\{(c_2 \hat{r}_0 - (b'_2 - \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma))^2 + (c_2^2 + d_2^2) \hat{r}_2^2 \geq (c_2^2 + d_2^2) K_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $\hat{r}_1 < \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma \quad 0 \leq \hat{r}_2 < \frac{c_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_2 - \frac{b'_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2}\},$
3. $\{c_2 \hat{r}_0 + \hat{r}_2 \geq b'_2 + (\sqrt{1 + c_2^2 + d_2^2} - \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2}) K_{\alpha/2} \sigma,$
 $\hat{r}_1 < \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{r}_2 \geq \frac{c_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_2 - \frac{b'_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2}\},$
4. $\{c_2 \hat{r}_0 + d_2 \hat{r}_1 \geq b'_2 + \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{r}_1 \leq \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{r}_2 < 0\},$
5. $\{\hat{r}_2^2 + (\frac{d_2}{\sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2}} \hat{r}_1 + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2}} (\hat{r}_0 - \frac{b'_2}{c_2}))^2 \geq K_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $\hat{r}_1 \geq \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad 0 \leq \hat{r}_2 < \frac{c_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_1 - \frac{b'_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2}\},$
6. $\{c_2 \hat{r}_0 + d_2 \hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_2 \geq b'_2 + \sqrt{1 + c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma,$
 $\hat{r}_1 \geq \frac{1}{d_2} \sqrt{c_2^2 + d_2^2} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{r}_2 \geq \frac{c_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_0 + \frac{d_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2} \hat{r}_1 - \frac{b'_2}{c_2^2 + d_2^2}\}.$

rejection region upper bound $\underline{\hat{\beta}}$

1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 \leq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0\},$
2. $\{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma)^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})^2 S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq (1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}) K_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 - \mu) \frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\},$
3. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2 \leq \mu - (\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}) K_{\alpha/2} \sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 - \mu) \frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\},$
4. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 \leq \mu - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0\},$
5. $\{S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} (X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 \geq K_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 - \mu) \frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\},$
6. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2 \leq \mu - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 - \mu) \frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\}.$

4.4 when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

rejection region lower bound

1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 \geq \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0\},$
2. $\{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma)^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})^2 S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 \geq (1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})K_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 - \mu)\frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\},$
3. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2 \geq \mu + (\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}})K_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 - \mu)\frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\},$
4. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 \geq \mu + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{01}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0\},$
5. $\{S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}(X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_0 - \mu)^2 \geq K_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{01}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 - \mu)\frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\},$
6. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2 \geq \mu + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{01}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}K_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \hat{\beta}_2 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 - \mu)\frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}\}.$

rejection region upper bound

1. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 > \mu, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}\},$
2. $\{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma})^2 + (\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2$
 $\geq (\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}) E_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2) \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}\},$
3. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \mu + (\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}) E_{\alpha/2} \sigma,$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2) \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}\},$
4. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \geq \mu + \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma},$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 < 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}\},$
5. $\{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2)^2 \frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} + S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 \geq E_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2,$
 $0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2) \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}\},$
6. $\{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \geq \mu + \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma},$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \geq (\hat{\beta}_0 - \mu + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2) \frac{X_{01}}{S_{X_1}^2} \frac{1}{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}}, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}\}.$

Chapter 5

When σ^2 is Unknown

When σ^2 is unknown, recall the hypothesis test (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0L} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\leq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0 \\ G_{0U} : \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{01} + \beta_2 X_{02} &\geq \mu & \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0 \\ \text{and } G_1 : \beta_1 &\geq 0, \quad \beta_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Test G_{0L} against $G_1 - G_{0L}$. In terms of r , the test becomes to $G_{01} : 0 \leq r_2 \leq b_1 - c_1 r_0 - d_1 r_1, 0 \leq r_1$ against $G_{11} : r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0$. Then the LRT is

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{\sigma^{*2}}{\bar{\sigma}^2} \right)^n / 2,$$

where σ^{*2} is the MLE of σ^2 under G_{01} and $\bar{\sigma}^2$ is the MLE of σ^2 under G_{11} . Hence the LRT reject G_{01} for large value of test statistic,

$$\lambda = 1 - \Lambda^2/n = 1 - \frac{vS^2 + \|\hat{r} - r^*\|^2}{vS^2 + \|\hat{r} - \bar{r}\|^2}.$$

Even we can change its form, Mukerjee and Tu (1995) have shown some diffi-

culties of using this test statistic. Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016) proposed a test statistic T^2 which rejects G_{01} with large values, where $T^2 = \frac{\|\bar{r}-r^*\|^2}{S^2}$ and I use that for my forgoing discussion. The test reduced to the $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2$ test when σ^2 is known by replacing S^2 with σ^2 . As Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016) shown, the least favorable null distribution of LRT is

$$Pr(LRT \leq C_\alpha | \hat{r} = L) = \sum_{i=0}^3 w_i P(F_{i,n-3} \leq C_\alpha^2/i)$$

where $F_{i,n-3}$ is the F-distribution with i and n-3 degrees of freedom. If i=0, Let $P(F_{i,n-3} \leq C_\alpha^2/i) = 1$. And the critical values C_α can be computed using the equation

$$\alpha = w_1 P(F_{1,n-3} \leq C_\alpha^2) + w_2 P(F_{2,n-3} \leq C_\alpha^2/2) + w_3 P(F_{3,n-3} \leq C_\alpha^2/3)$$

Then the table of critical values are given by Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016) in appendix.

For other σ^2 unknown cases, the rejection regions are very similar to the corresponding σ^2 known cases with replacing σ with S and obtaining C_α from above equation. I replace the Z_α with $t_{v,\alpha}$ in the boundaries of rejection region and prediction intervals when $\{X_{01} > 0, X_{02} > 0\}$ and $\{X_{01} < 0, X_{02} < 0\}$.

Chapter 6

Prediction Intervals

In this chapter, I summarize all the formulas for the prediction intervals for all the possible sign constraints of X_{01} and X_{02} . When σ^2 is known, the formulas for prediction intervals have similar formats as the formulas when σ^2 is unknown. Hence, I only provide formulas of the prediction intervals when σ^2 is unknown.

6.1 when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

Lower Boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_P = & 1. \hat{\beta}_0 - C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0, \\
 & 2. \hat{\beta}_0 - \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n})} \\
 & \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}}, \\
 & 3. \hat{\beta}_0 - \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n})} \\
 & \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 - \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n})} \\
& \text{if } 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2(1 - \frac{S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2})}{(1 + \frac{1}{n})S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \\
& 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2(1 - \frac{S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2})}{(1 + \frac{1}{n})S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}}, \\
5. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n})S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}}, \\
6. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n})S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0, \\
7. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2})} \\
& \text{if } 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{C_{\alpha/2} S}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^2}(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})}} \\
& \hat{\beta}_2 > C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2(1 - \frac{S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2})}{(1 + \frac{1}{n})S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}}, \\
8. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 > C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2(1 - \frac{S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2})}{(1 + \frac{1}{n})S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \\
& 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{C_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\sqrt{S_{X_2}^2 + \frac{S_{X_2}^4}{X_{02}^2}(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n})}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
9. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 > \frac{C_{\alpha/2} S}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^2} \left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)}} \\
& \hat{\beta}_2 > \frac{C_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\sqrt{S_{X_2}^2 + \frac{S_{X_2}^4}{X_{02}^2} \left(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Upper Boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_P = 1. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq 0, \\
2. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S, \\
3. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \min\{0, -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S\}, \\
4. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned}$$

6.2 when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

Lower Boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_P = 1. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq 0, \\
2. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S, \\
3. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \min\{0, \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S\}, \\
4. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} T_{\alpha/2} S \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned}$$

Upper Boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_P = & \begin{aligned} & 1. \hat{\beta}_0 + C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \\ & 2. \hat{\beta}_0 + \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n})} \\ & \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}} \\ & 3. \hat{\beta}_0 + \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n})} \\ & \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \\ & 4. \hat{\beta}_0 + \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)(1 + \frac{1}{n})} \\ & \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2(1 - \frac{S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2})}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \\ & \quad \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2(1 - \frac{S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2})}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}} \\ & 5. \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} + C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} \\ & \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}} \\ & 6. \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}} \\ & \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \end{aligned}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
7. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} + \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}\right)} \\
& \text{if } 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{C_{\alpha/2} S}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^2} \left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)}} \\
& \hat{\beta}_2 > C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2 \left(1 - \frac{S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) S_{X_2}^4 + X_{02}^2 S_{X_2}^2}} \\
8. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \sqrt{(C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}\right)} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 > C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{X_{01}^2 \left(1 - \frac{S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2}{C_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) S_{X_1}^4 + X_{01}^2 S_{X_1}^2}} \\
& 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{C_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\sqrt{S_{X_2}^2 + \frac{S_{X_2}^4}{X_{02}^2} \left(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)}} \\
9. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} + C_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 > \frac{C_{\alpha/2} S}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^2} \left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)}} \quad \hat{\beta}_2 > \frac{C_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\sqrt{S_{X_2}^2 + \frac{S_{X_2}^4}{X_{02}^2} \left(\frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)}}
\end{aligned}$$

6.3 when $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

Lower Boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_p = & 1. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1E_{\alpha/2}S} \\
& 2. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 - \sqrt{\left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1\right)(E_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2)} - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1E_{\alpha/2}S} \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2 + \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}\right)E_{\alpha/2}S}{\frac{S_{X_1}^2}{X_{01}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}\right)} \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1E_{\alpha/2}S} \\
& 3. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} - \left(\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1}E_{\alpha/2}S\right) \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2 + \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}\right)E_{\alpha/2}S}{\frac{S_{X_1}^2}{X_{01}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}\right)} \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1E_{\alpha/2}S} \\
& 4. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1E_{\alpha/2}S} \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1E_{\alpha/2}S} \\
& 5. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1\right)(E_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2)} \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{E_{\alpha/2}S}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^2}\left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1\right)}} \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1E_{\alpha/2}S}
\end{aligned}$$

$$6. \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} S$$

$$\text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{E_{\alpha/2} S}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^2} (\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1)}} \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} S$$

Upper Boundaries,

$$U_P = 1. \hat{\beta}_0 \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0$$

$$2. \hat{\beta}_0 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S + \sqrt{(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})(K_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)}$$

$$\text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S$$

$$0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}) K_{\alpha/2} S + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1}{\frac{S_{X_2}^2}{X_{02}} (1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2})}$$

$$3. \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2 + (\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}) K_{\alpha/2} S$$

$$\text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}}) K_{\alpha/2} S + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1}{\frac{S_{X_2}^2}{X_{02}} (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2})}$$

$$4. \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S$$

$$\text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
5. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 + \sqrt{(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})(K_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S, \\
& 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}} \frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} K_{\alpha/2} S \\
6. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} S, \\
& \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}} \frac{-X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} K_{\alpha/2} S
\end{aligned}$$

6.4 when $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

Lower Boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_P = 1. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \\
2. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma - \sqrt{(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})(K_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2 - S_{X_2}^2 \hat{\beta}_2^2)} \\
& \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}) K_{\alpha/2} \sigma + X_{01} \hat{\beta}_1}{\frac{S_{X_2}^2}{X_{02}} (1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
3. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2 - \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} \right) K_{\alpha/2}\sigma \\
& \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 < -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}) K_{\alpha/2}\sigma + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1}{\frac{S_{X_2}^2}{X_{02}}(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2})} \\
4. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma \\
& \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < 0 \\
5. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 - \sqrt{(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2})(K_{\alpha/2}^2\sigma^2 - S_{X_2}^2\hat{\beta}_2^2)} \\
& \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \\
& \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}} \frac{X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma \\
6. \quad & \hat{\beta}_0 + X_{01}\hat{\beta}_1 + X_{02}\hat{\beta}_2 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma \\
& \quad \text{if } \hat{\beta}_1 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{01}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2}} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma, \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}} \frac{X_{02}}{S_{X_2}^2} K_{\alpha/2}\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

Upper Boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_P = & 1. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n}} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& 2. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1\right)(E_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2)} + \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2 + \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}\right) E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\frac{S_{X_1}^2}{X_{01}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^{*2}}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}\right)} \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& 3. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \left(\sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} - \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1}\right) E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{X_{02} \hat{\beta}_2 + \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}}\right) E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\frac{S_{X_1}^2}{X_{01}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2}\right)} \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 < -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& 4. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} + \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 < 0 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& 5. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1\right)(E_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2 - S_{X_1}^2 \hat{\beta}_1^2)} \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 < \frac{E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^{*2}} \left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1\right)}} \\
& \quad \hat{\beta}_2 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& 6. \quad \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{01} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{02} + \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{X_{01}^2}{S_{X_1}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma \\
& \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \geq \frac{E_{\alpha/2} \sigma}{\sqrt{S_{X_1}^2 + \frac{S_{X_1}^4}{X_{01}^{*2}} \left(\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1\right)}}, \hat{\beta}_2 \geq -\frac{1}{X_{02}} \sqrt{\frac{X_{02}^2}{S_{X_2}^2} + \frac{1}{n} + 1} E_{\alpha/2} \sigma
\end{aligned}$$

Chapter 7

Example

The data set of the Study on the Efficacy of Nosocomial Infection Control (SENIC Project) consists of a random sample of 113 hospitals selected from the original 338 hospitals surveyed. The variables of interest are the length of patient stay in a hospital in days (Y) as a function of patient's age in years (X'_1) and infection risk (X'_2). Then we normalized X'_1 and X'_2 to satisfy those model assumptions. First multiply the data matrix $X = (X_1, X_2)$ with the negative one half power of the variance-covariance matrix $R_2^{-1/2}$ to get transformed data matrix. Then the column means were subtracted from each column to centralize the data. Finally the new data sets satisfy the model assumption, which are $\sum X_{1i} = 0$, $\sum X_{2i} = 0$ and $\sum X_{1i}X_{2i} = 0$. The following table gives the 95% prediction intervals for a new observation Y . We compare the length of prediction intervals to decide the efficiency of the prediction intervals.

7.1 When σ^2 is known

7.1.1 When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

We choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{02}) = (0.9, 0.6)$. Then obtain $c_1 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{x_{02}} \sqrt{1 + 1/n}$ and $d_1 = \frac{S_{X_2} x_{01}}{S_{X_1} x_{02}}$. Then $w_1 = |\tan^{-1}(c_1)|$ and $w_2 = \tan^{-1}(\frac{c_1}{d_1})$. Then I find $i = 12w_1/\pi$ and $j = 12w_2/\pi$. And I get the approximated range of i and j which are from three to five. So we can decide which part of critical values should be used. Then find critical value from Table A.1 by linear interpolation. Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016). In the formula of prediction upper bound, $Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$ which is the 95 percent quantile of normal distribution. We consider the sample variance $S^2 = \sum (Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} - \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i})^2 / (n - 3)$ as the known population variance σ^2 . Then compare the length of restricted prediction interval with unrestricted one.

7.1.2 When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

I choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{02}) = (-3.0, -0.2)$. Then follow the similar procedures to obtain the restricted prediction interval.

7.1.3 When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

I choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{02}) = (2.0, -0.2)$. Then obtain $c_1 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{x_{02}} \sqrt{1 + 1/n}$ and $d_1 = \frac{S_{X_2} x_{01}}{S_{X_1} x_{02}}$. Then $\theta_1 = \cos^{-1}(\frac{|d_2|}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2+d_2^2}})$ and $\theta_2 = \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2+d_2^2}})$. Then I find $i_1 = 12w_1/\pi$ and $i_2 = 12w_2/\pi$. And I get the approximated range of i_1 and i_2 which are from three to five. So I can decide which part of critical values should be used. Let $j = 6$, then the chi-bar-square distribution where $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$ is same as chi-bar-square distribution where $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$. So I can use critical values from Table A.1. Then find critical value from the last row in Table

A.1 by linear interpolation. (Peiris and Bhattacharya, (2016)). I consider the sample variance $S^2 = \sum(Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} - \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i})^2 / (n - 3)$ as the known population variance σ^2 .

Then compare the length of restricted prediction interval with unrestricted one.

7.1.4 When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

I choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{01}) = (-2.0, -1.9)$ and $(x_{01}, x_{01}) = (-0.5, -1.9)$.

Then follow the similar precedures to obtain the restricted prediction interval.

When σ is known		
(X_{01}, X_{02})	Restricted	Unrestricted
(2.0 -0.2)	(6.921838, 9.445020)	(6.979653, 13.352616)
(-2.0, 1.9)	(11.535312, 14.158488)	(7.628682, 14.098118)
(-0.5, 1.9)	(11.534532, 14.728804)	(8.220635, 14.588695)
(0.9, 0.6)	(7.042015, 13.731856)	(7.437521, 13.731856)
(-3.0, -0.2)	(5.108080, 12.314902)	(5.108080, 11.615757)

I find that lengths of prediction intervals strictly depended on the values of (x_{01}, x_{02}) .

So prediction intervals for the mean response should be calculated using both restricted and non-restricted formulas to find the most efficient result.

7.2 When σ^2 is unknown

7.2.1 When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

We choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{01}) = (0.9, 0.6)$. Then obtain $c_1 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{x_{02}} \sqrt{1 + 1/n}$ and $d_1 = \frac{S_{X_2 x_{01}}}{S_{X_1 x_{02}}}$. Then $w_1 = |\tan^{-1}(c_1)|$ and $w_2 = \tan^{-1}(\frac{c_1}{d_1})$. Then I find $i = 12w_1/\pi$ and $j = 12w_2/\pi$. And I get the approximated range of i and j which are from three

to five. So we can decide which part of critical values should be used. Then find critical value from Table A.2 by linear interpolation. (Peiris and Bhattacharya, (2016)). In the formula of prediction upper bound, $t_{\alpha/2,110}$ whihc the 95 percent quantile of t-distribution with 110 degrees of freedom. We consider the sample variance $S^2 = \sum(Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} - \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i})^2 / (n - 3)$ to replace σ^2 . Then compare the length of restricted prediction interval with unrestricted one.

7.2.2 When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

I choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{01}) = (-3.0, -0.2)$. Then follow the similar precedures to obtain the restricted prediction interval.

7.2.3 When $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$

I choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{01}) = (2.0, -0.2)$. Then obtain $c_1 = \frac{S_{X_2}}{x_{02}} \sqrt{1 + 1/n}$ and $d_1 = \frac{S_{X_2} x_{01}}{S_{X_1} x_{02}}$. Then $\theta_1 = \cos^{-1}(\frac{|d_2|}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2+d_2^2}})$ and $\theta_2 = \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c_2^2+d_2^2}})$. Then I find $i_1 = 12w_1/\pi$ and $i_2 = 12w_2/\pi$. Let $j = 6$, I use F-distribution to replace chi-square distribuion in least favorable null distribution. then the "F-bar distribution" where $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} < 0$ is same as "F-bar distribution" where $X_{01} > 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$. So I can use critival values from Table A.2. Then find critical value from the last column in Table A.2 by linear interpolation. (Peiris and Bhattacharya (2016)). And the sample variance $S^2 = \sum(Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} - \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i})^2 / (n - 3)$ Then compare the length of restricted prediction interval with unrestricted one.

7.2.4 When $X_{01} < 0$ and $X_{02} > 0$

I choose the normalized data $(x_{01}, x_{01}) = (-2.0, -1.9)$ and $(x_{01}, x_{01}) = (-0.5, -1.9)$. Then follow the similar precedures to obtain the restricted prediction interval.

When σ is unknown		
(X_{01}, X_{02})	Restricted	Unrestricted
(2.0 -0.2)	(6.885129 9.445026)	(6.944209 13.388060)
(-2.0, 1.9)	(11.534747 14.195788)	(7.592701, 14.134098)
(-0.5, 1.9)	(11.533960 14.767218)	(8.185218, 14.624112)
(0.9, 0.6)	(6.998580, 13.766863)	(7.402514, 13.766863)
(-3.0, -0.2)	(5.071886, 12.366717)	(5.071886, 11.651951)

We find that lengths of prediction intervals strictly depended on the values of (x_{01}, x_{02}) . In some cases, our new prediction intervals work better than original ones. So prediction intervals for the mean response can be calculated using both restricted and non-restricted formulas to find the most efficient result.

Bibliography

- [1] D.R. Cox and D.V. Hinkley. *Theoretical Statistics*. Taylor & Francis, 1979.
- [2] M.H. Kutner, C.J. Nachtsheim, and J. Neter. *Applied Linear Regression Models*. The McGraw-Hill/Irwin Series Operations and Decision Sciences. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 2003.
- [3] H. Mukerjee and R. Tu. Order-restricted inferences in linear regression. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(430):717–728, 1995.
- [4] T.B. Peiris and B. Bhattacharya. Constrained inference in linear regression. *J. Multivar. Anal.*, 151(C):133–150, October 2016.
- [5] M.J. Silvapulle and P.K. Sen. *Constrained Statistical Inference: Order, Inequality, and Shape Constraints*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley, 2004.

Appendix A

R Codes in Example

This is the R code for σ^2 known case. For the comparison, we assum σ^2 equal S^2 .

```
1 library("expm")#this is for sigma known case
2 #import data
3 SENIC <- read.table("~/Google Drive/Thesis/SENIC.txt", header=FALSE, col
  .names =c("ID", "stay", "Age", "Risk", "culturing_ratio", "X-ray_ratio",
    "beds", "affiliation", "region", "daily_census", "nurses", "facilities_
    services"))
4 Y=SENIC[,2]
5 X1=SENIC[,3]
6 X2=SENIC[,4]
7 #assumption
8 n=length(X1)
9 #normalized
10 X=cbind(X1,X2)
11 R=matrix(c(var(X1),cov(X1,X2),cov(X2,X1),var(X2)),nrow=2,ncol=2)
12 newX=t(solve(sqrtm(R))%*%t(X))
13 newx1=newX[,1]-mean(newX[,1])
```

```

14 newx2=newX[,2]-mean(newX[,2])
15 sum(newx1*newx2)#assumption no.3
16 x1=newx1;x2=newx2
17 olse=lm(Y~x1+x2)
18 summary(olse)
19 S=sqrt(sum((olse$residuals)^2)/(n-3)) #assume sigma=S
20 Sx1=sqrt(sum(x1^2));Sx2=sqrt(sum(x2^2))
21 b0=as.numeric(olse$coefficients[1])#9.648319
22 b1=as.numeric(olse$coefficients[2])#0.08068539 >0
23 b2=as.numeric(olse$coefficients[3])#0.7601274 >0
24 #new data
25 x01=c(-3,-2,-0.5,0.4,0.9,2);x02=c(-3,-1.5,-0.2,0.6,1.9,3)#better be in
    the range
26 Tab=matrix(data=NA,nrow=length(x01)*length(x02),ncol=6)
27 #Ca where alpha=0.025
28 #check the range of i,j. It is a part of critical values table,
29 #sigma known, use normal-distribution for boundary
30 CV=matrix(c(2.411,2.357,2.290,2.208,2.357,2.300,2.229,2.142,
31             2.290,2.229,2.155,2.063,2.2080,2.142,2.063,1.968),nrow=4,
             ncol=4)#sigma known Table A.1
32 EK=c(2.361,2.316,2.266,2.2080,2.142,2.063,1.968)# let j=6 Table A.1
33 for(a in 1:length(x01))
34 {
35   for(b in 1:length(x02))
36   {
37     c=Sx2/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n);d=Sx2*x01[a]/(Sx1*x02[b])
38     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,1]=x01[a]
39     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,2]=x02[b]
40     #unrestricted intervals(sigma known)
41     I=rep(1,length(x1))
42     NEWX=cbind(I,x1,x2)

```



```

43   bhat=as.numeric(olse$coefficients)
44   Xh=c(1,x01[a],x02[b])
45   Yhat=t(Xh)%*%bhat    #estimator
46   a2=(sum((olse$residuals)^2)/(n-3))    #sigma^2
47   z.quantiles <- qnorm(0.975)    # normal quantile
48   a2yhat=a2*(1+t(Xh)%*%solve(t(NEWX)%*%NEWX)%*%Xh)    #sigma^2{pred}
49   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,3]=Yhat-z.quantiles*sqrt(a2yhat)
50   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,4]=Yhat+z.quantiles*sqrt(a2yhat)
51   if(x01[a]>0&x02[b]>0)
52   {
53     w1=abs(atan(c));w2=abs(atan(c/d))
54     i=w1*12/pi;j=w2*12/pi
55     #cv linear interpolation
56     cv1=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-2];cv2=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-1];cv3=
        CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-2];cv4=CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-1]
57     cv=cv4+(cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i))+(cv2+(cv1-cv2)*(i-floor(i))-cv4+(
        cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i)))*(j-floor(j))
58     #lower bound
59     if(b1<0&b2<0){
60       Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-cv*S*sqrt(1+1/n)
61     }else if(b1<0&b2>0&b2-cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*
        Sx2^2)<0)){
62       Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b^2)*(1+1/n
        ))
63     }else if(b1>0&b1-cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
        ^2))<0&b2<0){
64       Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)*(1+1/n
        ))
65     }else if(b1>0&b2>0&b1-sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b^2)/
        ((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))<0&b2-sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S
        ^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))<0){

```

```

66     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*x01[a]^2-Sx2
        ^2*x02[b]^2)*(1+1/n))
67 }else if(b1<0&b2-cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2
        ^2))>0){
68     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2
        ^2+1+1/n)
69 }else if(b2<0&b1-cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
        ^2))>0){
70     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2+1+1/n)
71 }else if(b1>0&b1-cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2
        ^2+1+1/n))<0&b2-sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/
        n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))>0){
72     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1
        ^2)*(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))
73 }else if(b2>0&b2-cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2+1+1/n))<0&b1-sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)/((1+1/
        n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))>0){
74     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2
        ^2)*(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))
75 }else if(b1-cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)
        )>0&b2-cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n))
        >0){
76     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]-cv*S*sqrt(1+1
        /n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
77 }
78 #upper bound
79 if(b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qnorm
        (0.975)*S<0&b2>0){
80     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]

```

```

81     }else if (b2+1/x02 [b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*
      qnorm(0.975)*S<0&b1>0){
82         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01 [a]
83     }else if (b2+1/x02 [b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*
      qnorm(0.975)*S<0&b1<0){
84         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0
85     }else{
86         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01 [a]+b2*x02 [b]+sqrt(1+1/n+
          x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*qnorm(0.975)*S
87     }
88 }else if (x01 [a]>0&x02 [b]<0){
89     w1=acos(abs(d)/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2));w2=acos(1/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2))
90     i=w1*12/pi;j=w2*12/pi
91     #cv linear interpolation
92     ecv=EK[floor(i)+2]+(EK[floor(i)+1]-EK[floor(i)+2])*(i-floor(i))
93     kcv=EK[floor(j)+2]+(EK[floor(j)+1]-EK[floor(j)+2])*(j-floor(j))
94     #lower bound
95     if (b1<0&b2-1/x02 [b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S<0){
96         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0
97     }else if (b1>0&b1-(x02 [b]*b2+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/
      Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x01 [a]*(1+1/
      n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))<0&b2-1/x02 [b]*sqrt(x02 [b]^2
      /Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
98         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*(ecv
          ^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))-sqrt(x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S
99     }else if (b1-(x02 [b]*b2+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)
      -sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x02 [a]*(1+1/n+x01 [
      a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))>0&b2-1/x02 [b]*sqrt(x02 [b]^2/Sx2
      ^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
100        Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01 [a]-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/
          Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S

```

```

101     }else if (b1<0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
102         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2
            ^2)*ecv*S
103     }else if (b1>0&b1-(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
            x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*
            S>0){
104         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-sqrt((1+1/n+x02[b]^2/
            Sx2^2)*(ecv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))
105     }else if (b1-(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]
            ^2/Sx2^2)>0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
106         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]-sqrt(1+1/n+
            x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S
107     }
108     #upper bound
109     if (b2<0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S<0){
110         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0
111     }else if (b2>0&b2-(x01[a]*b1+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/
            Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/
            n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))<0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2
            /Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
112         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*(kcv
            ^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2))-sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S
113     }else if (b2-(x01[a]*b1+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
            -sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx2^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/n+x01[
            a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))>0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
            ^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
114         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
            Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S
115     }else if (b2<0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
116         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1
            ^2)*kcv*S

```

```

117     }else if (b2>0&&b2+(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
        x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0&&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*
        S>0){
118         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+sqrt((1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
            Sx1^2)*(kcv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2))
119     }else if (b2+(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]
        ^2/Sx2^2)>0&&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
120         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]+sqrt(1+1/n+
            x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*kcv*S
121     }
122 }else if (x01[a]<0&&x02[b]<0){
123     w1=abs(atan(c));w2=abs(atan(c/d))
124     i=w1*12/pi;j=w2*12/pi
125     #cv linear interpolation
126     cv1=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-2];cv2=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-1];cv3=
        CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-2];cv4=CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-1]
127     cv=cv4+(cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i))+(cv2+(cv1-cv2)*(i-floor(i))-cv4+(
        cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i)))*(j-floor(j))
128     #upper bound
129     if (b1<0&&b2<0){
130         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0-cv*S*sqrt(1+1/n)
131     }else if (b1<0&&b2>0&&b2+cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*
        Sx2^2)<0)){
132         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)*(1+1/n
            ))
133     }else if (b1>0&&b1+cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
        ^2))<0&&b2<0){
134         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)*(1+1/n
            ))
135     }else if (b1>0&&b2>0&&b1+sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)/
        ((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))<0&&b2+sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S

```

```

      ^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))<0){
136   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*x01[a]^2-Sx2
      ^2*x02[b]^2)*(1+1/n))
137 }else if(b1<0&b2+cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2
      ^2))>0){
138   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2
      ^2+1+1/n)
139 }else if(b2<0&b1+cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
      ^2))>0){
140   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
      ^2+1+1/n)
141 }else if(b1>0&b1+cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2
      ^2+1+1/n))<0&b2+sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/
      n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))>0){
142   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1
      ^2)*(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))
143 }else if(b2>0&b2+cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1
      ^2+1+1/n))<0&b1+sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)/((1+1/
      n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))>0){
144   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2
      ^2)*(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))
145 }else if(b1+cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)
      )>0&b2+cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n))
      >0){
146   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]+cv*S*sqrt(1+1
      /n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
147 }
148 #lower bound
149 if(b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qnorm
      (0.975)*S<0&b2>0){
150   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]

```

```

151     }else if (b2-1/x02 [b] *sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*
        qnorm(0.975)*S<0&b1>0){
152         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01 [a]
153     }else if (b2-1/x02 [b] *sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*
        qnorm(0.975)*S<0&b1<0){
154         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0
155     }else{
156         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01 [a]+b2*x02 [b]-sqrt(1+1/n+
            x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*qnorm(0.975)*S
157     }
158 }else if (x01 [a]<0&x02 [b]>0){
159     w1=acos(abs(d)/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2));w2=acos(1/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2))
160     i=w1*12/pi; j=w2*12/pi
161     #cv linear interpolation
162     ecv=EK[floor(i)+2]+(EK[floor(i)+1]-EK[floor(i)+2])*(i-floor(i))
163     kcv=EK[floor(j)+2]+(EK[floor(j)+1]-EK[floor(j)+2])*(j-floor(j))
164     #upper bound
165     if (b1<0&b2+1/x02 [b] *sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S<0){
166         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0
167     }else if (b1>0&b1-(x02 [b] *b2-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/
        Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x01 [a]*(1+1/
        n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))<0&b2+1/x02 [b] *sqrt(x02 [b]^2
        /Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
168         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*(ecv
            ^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))+sqrt(x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S
169     }else if (b1-(x02 [b] *b2-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)
        -sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x01 [a]*(1+1/n+x01 [
        a]^2/Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))>0&b2+1/x02 [b] *sqrt(x02 [b]^2/Sx2
        ^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
170         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01 [a]+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01 [a]^2/
            Sx1^2+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02 [b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S

```

```

171 }else if (b1<0&b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
172     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2
        ^2)*ecv*S
173 }else if (b1>0&b1+(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
        x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0&b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*
        S>0){
174     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+sqrt((1+1/n+x02[b]^2/
        Sx2^2)*(ecv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))
175 }else if (b1+(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]
        ]^2/Sx2^2)>0&b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
176     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]+sqrt(1+1/n+
        x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S
177 }
178 #lower bound
179 if (b2<0&b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S<0){
180     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0
181 }else if (b2>0&b2-(x01[a]*b1-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/
        Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/
        n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))<0&b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2
        /Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
182     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*(kcv
        ^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2))+sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S
183 }else if (b2-(x01[a]*b1-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
        -sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx2^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/n+x01[
        a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))>0&b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
184     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
        Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S
185 }else if (b2<0&b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
186     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2)*kcv*S

```



```

187     }else if (b2>0&b2-(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
        x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0&b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*
        S>0){
188     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-sqrt((1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
        Sx1^2)*(kcv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2))
189     }else if (b2-(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]
        ^2/Sx2^2)>0&b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
190     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]-sqrt(1+1/n+
        x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*kcv*S
191     }
192     }
193   }
194 }
195 Tab

```

GeneralExamplePI(known).R

This is the R code for σ^2 unknown case.

```

1 library("expm")#this is for sigma unknown case
2 #import data
3 SENIC <- read.table("~/Google Drive/Thesis/SENIC.txt", header=FALSE, col
    .names =c("ID", "stay", "Age", "Risk", "culturing_ratio", "X-ray_ratio",
    "beds", "affiliation", "region", "daily_census", "nurses", "facilities _
    services"))
4 Y=SENIC[,2]
5 X1=SENIC[,3]
6 X2=SENIC[,4]
7 #assumption
8 n=length(X1)
9 #normalized
10 X=cbind(X1,X2)
11 R=matrix(c(var(X1),cov(X1,X2),cov(X2,X1),var(X2)),nrow=2,ncol=2)

```

```

12 newX=t(solve(sqrtm(R))%*%t(X))
13 newx1=newX[,1]-mean(newX[,1])
14 newx2=newX[,2]-mean(newX[,2])
15 sum(newx1*newx2)#assumption no.3
16 x1=newx1;x2=newx2
17 olse=lm(Y~x1+x2)
18 summary(olse)
19 S=sqrt(sum((olse$residuals)^2)/(n-3))
20 Sx1=sqrt(sum(x1^2));Sx2=sqrt(sum(x2^2))
21 b0=as.numeric(olse$coefficients[1])#9.648319
22 b1=as.numeric(olse$coefficients[2])#0.08068539 >0
23 b2=as.numeric(olse$coefficients[3])#0.7601274 >0
24 #new data
25 x01=c(-3,-2,-0.5,0.4,0.9,2);x02=c(-3,-1.5,-0.2,0.6,1.9,3)#better be in
    the range
26 Tab=matrix(data=NA,nrow=length(x01)*length(x02),ncol=6)
27 #Ca where alpha=0.025
28 #check the range of i,j. It is a part of critical values table,
29 #sigma unknown, use t-distribution for boundary when x
30 CV=matrix(c(2.444,2.388,2.320,2.236,2.388,2.329,2.257,
31             2.167,2.320,2.257,2.181,2.087,2.236,2.167,2.087,1.990),nrow
             =4,ncol=4)#sigma unknown
32 EK=c(2.393,2.347,2.295,2.236,2.167,2.087,1.990)#v=110 let j=6 Table A.2
33 for(a in 1:length(x01))
34 {
35   for(b in 1:length(x02))
36   {
37     c=Sx2/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n);d=Sx2*x01[a]/(Sx1*x02[b])
38     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,1]=x01[a]
39     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,2]=x02[b]
40     #unrestricted intervals

```

```

41   newdata = data.frame(x1=x01[a], x2=x02[b])
42   predict(olse, newdata, interval="predict")
43   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,3]=predict(olse, newdata, interval="predict
      ") [2]
44   Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,4]=predict(olse, newdata, interval="predict
      ") [3]
45   if(x01[a]>0&x02[b]>0)
46   {
47     w1=abs(atan(c)); w2=abs(atan(c/d))
48     i=w1*12/pi; j=w2*12/pi
49     #cv linear interpolation
50     cv1=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-2]; cv2=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-1]; cv3=
      CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-2]; cv4=CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-1]
51     cv=cv4+(cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i))+(cv2+(cv1-cv2)*(i-floor(i))-cv4+(
      cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i)))*(j-floor(j))
52     #lower bound
53     if(b1<0&b2<0){
54       Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-cv*S*sqrt(1+1/n)
55     } else if (b1<0&b2>0&b2-cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*
      Sx2^2)<0)){
56       Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)*(1+1/n
      ))
57     } else if (b1>0&b1-cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
      ^2))<0&b2<0){
58       Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)*(1+1/n
      ))
59     } else if (b1>0&b2>0&b1-sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)/
      ((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))<0&b2-sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S
      ^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))<0){
60       Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*x01[a]^2-Sx2
      ^2*x02[b]^2)*(1+1/n))

```

```

61     }else if (b1<0&b2-cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2
        ^2))>0){
62         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2
        ^2+1+1/n)
63     }else if (b2<0&b1-cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
        ^2))>0){
64         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2+1+1/n)
65     }else if (b1>0&b1-cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2
        ^2+1+1/n))<0&b2-sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/
        n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))>0){
66         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1
        ^2)*(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))
67     }else if (b2>0&b2-cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2+1+1/n))<0&b1-sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)/((1+1/
        n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))>0){
68         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2
        ^2)*(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))
69     }else if (b1-cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)
        )>0&b2-cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n))
        >0){
70         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]-cv*S*sqrt(1+1
        /n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
71     }
72     #upper bound
73     if (b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt
        (0.975,n-3)*S<0&b2>0){
74         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]
75     }else if (b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt
        (0.975,n-3)*S<0&b1>0){
76         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]

```

```

77     }else if (b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt
        (0.975,n-3)*S<0&b1<0){
78         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0
79     }else{
80         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]+sqrt(1+1/n+
            x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt(0.975,n-3)*S
81     }
82 }else if (x01[a]>0&x02[b]<0){
83     w1=acos(abs(d)/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2));w2=acos(1/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2))
84     i=w1*12/pi;j=w2*12/pi
85     #cv linear interpolation
86     ecv=EK[floor(i)+2]+(EK[floor(i)+1]-EK[floor(i)+2])*(i-floor(i))
87     kcv=EK[floor(j)+2]+(EK[floor(j)+1]-EK[floor(j)+2])*(j-floor(j))
88     #lower bound
89     if (b1<0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S<0){
90         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0
91     }else if (b1>0&b1-(x02[b]*b2+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/
        Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x01[a]*(1+1/
        n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))<0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(x02[b]^2
        /Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
92         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*(ecv
            ^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))-sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S
93     }else if (b1-(x02[b]*b2+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
        -sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x02[a]*(1+1/n+x01[
        a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))>0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2
        ^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
94         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
            Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S
95     }else if (b1<0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
96         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2
            ^2)*ecv*S

```

```

97     }else if (b1>0&b1-(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
        x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*
        S>0){
98         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-sqrt((1+1/n+x02[b]^2/
            Sx2^2)*(ecv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))
99     }else if (b1-(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]
        ]^2/Sx2^2)>0&b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
100         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]-sqrt(1+1/n+
            x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S
101     }
102     #upper bound
103     if (b2<0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S<0){
104         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0
105     }else if (b2>0&b2-(x01[a]*b1+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/
        Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/
        n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))<0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2
        /Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
106         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*(kcv
            ^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2))-sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S
107     }else if (b2-(x01[a]*b1+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
        -sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx2^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/n+x01[
        a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))>0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
108         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
            Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S
109     }else if (b2<0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
110         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1
            ^2)*kcv*S
111     }else if (b2>0&b2+(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
        x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*
        S>0){

```

```

112     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+sqrt((1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
        Sx1^2)*(kcv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2))
113 }else if(b2+(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]
        ]^2/Sx2^2)>0&b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
114     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]+sqrt(1+1/n+
        x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*kcv*S
115 }
116 }else if(x01[a]<0&x02[b]<0){
117     w1=abs(atan(c));w2=abs(atan(c/d))
118     i=w1*12/pi;j=w2*12/pi
119     #cv linear interpolation
120     cv1=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-2];cv2=CV[floor(j)-2,floor(i)-1];cv3=
        CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-2];cv4=CV[floor(j)-1,floor(i)-1]
121     cv=cv4+(cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i))+(cv2+(cv1-cv2)*(i-floor(i))-cv4+(
        cv3-cv4)*(i-floor(i)))*(j-floor(j))
122     #upper bound
123     if(b1<0&b2<0){
124         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0-cv*S*sqrt(1+1/n)
125     }else if(b1<0&b2>0&b2+cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*
        Sx2^2)<0)){
126         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)*(1+1/n
        ))
127     }else if(b1>0&b1+cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
        ^2)<0&b2<0){
128         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)*(1+1/n
        ))
129     }else if(b1>0&b2>0&b1+sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)/
        ((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))<0&b2+sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S
        ^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))<0){
130     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*x01[a]^2-Sx2
        ^2*x02[b]^2)*(1+1/n))

```

```

131     }else if (b1<0&b2+cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2
      ^2))>0){
132     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+cv*S*sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2
      ^2+1+1/n)
133     }else if (b2<0&b1+cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/((1+1/n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1
      ^2))>0){
134     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+cv*S*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
      ^2+1+1/n)
135     }else if (b1>0&b1+cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2
      ^2+1+1/n))<0&b2+sqrt(x02[b]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2)/((1+1/
      n)*Sx2^4+x02[b]^2*Sx2^2))>0){
136     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1
      ^2)*(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))
137     }else if (b2>0&b2+cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1
      ^2+1+1/n))<0&b1+sqrt(x01[a]^2*abs(cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2)/((1+1/
      n)*Sx1^4+x01[a]^2*Sx1^2))>0){
138     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+sqrt((cv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2
      ^2)*(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))
139     }else if (b1+cv*S/sqrt(Sx1^2+Sx1^4/x01[a]^2*(x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)
      )>0&b2+cv*S/sqrt(Sx2^2+Sx2^4/x02[b]^2*(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n))
      >0){
140     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]+cv*S*sqrt(1+1
      /n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
141     }
142     #lower bound
143     if (b1-1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt
      (0.975,n-3)*S<0&b2>0){
144     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]
145     }else if (b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt
      (0.975,n-3)*S<0&b1>0){
146     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]

```



```

147     }else if (b2-1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt
        (0.975,n-3)*S<0&b1<0){
148         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0
149     }else{
150         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]-sqrt(1+1/n+
            x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*qt(0.975,n-3)*S
151     }
152 }else if (x01[a]<0&x02[b]>0){
153     w1=acos(abs(d)/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2));w2=acos(1/sqrt(1+c^2+d^2))
154     i=w1*12/pi;j=w2*12/pi
155     #cv linear interpolation
156     ecv=EK[floor(i)+2]+(EK[floor(i)+1]-EK[floor(i)+2])*(i-floor(i))
157     kcv=EK[floor(j)+2]+(EK[floor(j)+1]-EK[floor(j)+2])*(j-floor(j))
158     #upper bound
159     if (b1<0&b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S<0){
160         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0
161     }else if (b1>0&b1-(x02[b]*b2-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/
        Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x01[a]*(1+1/
        n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))<0&b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(x02[b]^2
        /Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
162         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+sqrt((x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*(ecv
            ^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))+sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S
163     }else if (b1-(x02[b]*b2-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
        -sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S)/(Sx1^2/x01[a]*(1+1/n+x01[
        a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))>0&b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(x02[b]^2/Sx2
        ^2+1+1/n)*ecv*S<0){
164         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
            Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))*ecv*S
165     }else if (b1<0&b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
166         Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2
            ^2)*ecv*S

```

```

167     }else if (b1>0 & b1+(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
        x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0 & b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*
        S>0){
168     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b2*x02[b]+sqrt((1+1/n+x02[b]^2/
        Sx2^2)*(ecv^2*S^2-Sx1^2*b1^2))
169     }else if (b1+(x01[a]/Sx1^2*ecv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]
        ^2/Sx2^2)>0 & b2+1/x02[b]*sqrt(1+1/n+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S>0){
170     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,6]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]+sqrt(1+1/n+
        x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*ecv*S
171     }
172     #lower bound
173     if (b2<0 & b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S<0){
174     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0
175     }else if (b2>0 & b2-(x01[a]*b1-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/
        Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/
        n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))<0 & b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2
        /Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
176     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0-sqrt((x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*(kcv
        ^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b2^2))+sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S
177     }else if (b2-(x01[a]*b1-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)
        -sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx2^2))*kcv*S)/(Sx2^2/x02[b]*(1+1/n+x01[
        a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2))>0 & b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2+1+1/n)*kcv*S<0){
178     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b2*x02[b]-(sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
        Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2))*kcv*S
179     }else if (b2<0 & b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
180     Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1
        ^2)*kcv*S
181     }else if (b2>0 & b2-(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+
        x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)<0 & b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*
        S>0){

```

```

182      Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]-sqrt((1+1/n+x01[a]^2/
      Sx1^2)*(kcv^2*S^2-Sx2^2*b^2))
183    }else if(b2-(x02[b]/Sx2^2*kcv*S)/sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b
      ]^2/Sx2^2)>0&b1+1/x01[a]*sqrt(1+1/n+x01[a]^2/Sx1^2)*kcv*S>0){
184      Tab[length(x01)*(a-1)+b,5]=b0+b1*x01[a]+b2*x02[b]-sqrt(1+1/n+
      x01[a]^2/Sx1^2+x02[b]^2/Sx2^2)*kcv*S
185    }
186  }
187 }
188 }
189 Tab

```

GeneralExamplePI.R